Diagnostic Review Report

Host Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples Common Name Common Name Common Name Confidence (to genus) This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Cornell officersty Flatte Disease Diagnostic Chine							
This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; Scientific Name Common Name Common Name This section does not represent the total number of samples Common Name Commo	Host		Diagnosis					
	Scientific Name Commo	on Name	hence this section does not represent the total number of samples		me	t Detecte	Suspected	Inconclusive

		Time Period Report for September 29 th through October 13 th , 2014				
Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	Verticillium Wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Allium cepa	Onion	Grey Mold; Stalk Rot; Neck Rot (<i>Botrytis allii</i>)	0	1	0	0
Allium cepa	Onion	Yeast Soft Rot (Kluyveromyces marxianus)	0	0	1	0
Allium sativum	Garlic	Stem and Bulb Nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>)	0	3	0	0
Brassica oleracea var. botrytis	Broccoli	Crucifer Bacterial Black Rot (Xanthomonas campestris)	1	0	0	0
Brassica oleracea var. botrytis	Broccoli	Unspecified Pathology (Alternaria sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Brassica oleracea var. botrytis	Cauliflower	Crucifer Bacterial Black Rot (Xanthomonas campestris)	1	0	0	0
Brassica oleracea var. botrytis	Cauliflower	Unspecified Pathology (Alternaria sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Brassica oleracea var. capitata	Cabbage	Crucifer Bacterial Black Rot (Xanthomonas campestris)	1	0	0	0
Brassica oleracea var. capitata	Cabbage	Unspecified Pathology (Alternaria sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

- Suspected Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.
- Inconclusive Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis		Confide (to gen			
Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
Buxus sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Macrophoma Leaf Spot (<i>Dothiorella (Macrophoma) sempervirens (candollei</i>))		3	0	0	0
Buxus sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Volutella Blight; Canker (<i>Volutella buxi</i>)		3	0	0	0
Buxus sp./spp.	Boxwood	Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)		0	2	0	0
Buxus sp./spp.	Boxwood	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	3	0
Buxus sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight (Calonectria (ana. Cylindrocladium) pseudonaviculata (pseudonaviculatum))		0	1	0	0
Cornus kousa	Japanese Dogwood (kousa)	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Cornus kousa	Japanese Dogwood (kousa)	Leaf Scorch (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Cornus kousa	Japanese Dogwood (kousa)	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)		1	0	0	0
Cornus sp./spp.	Dogwood	Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)		0	1	0	0
Eucomis sp./spp.	Pineapple Lily	Botrytis Blight (<i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.)		0	1	0	0
Eucomis sp./spp.	Pineapple Lily	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Host Diagnosis		Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
Ilex x meserveae	Blue Holly	y Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)		0	1	0	0
Ilex x meserveae	Blue Holly	Black Root Rot (<i>Thielaviopsis (Chalara) basicola (elegans</i>))		1	0	0	0
Liriodendron tulipifera	Tulip Tree	Phytophthora Canker (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	Phytophthora Canker (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0
Malus sylvestris	Common Apple	Wood Rot; White Rot (Irpex lacteus)		1	0	0	0
Picea abies	Norway Spruce	Drought Stress Damage (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Picea abies	Norway Spruce	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)		1	0	0	0
Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	Insufficient Light (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	Weir's Cushion Rust (<i>Chrysomyxa weirii</i>)		0	0	1	0
Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)		1	0	2	0
Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	Nutritional Deficiency (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	2	0
Picea sp./spp.	Spruce	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)		1	0	0	0
Picea sp./spp.	Spruce	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	2	0
Pinus strobus	Eastern White pine	Diplodia Tip Blight; Canker (Sphaeropsis (Diplodia) sapinea (pinea))		1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Diagnostic Review Report

		Oniversity i lant bisease biagnostic cinic	Biagnostic Neview Report	1			
Host		Diagnosis		Confid (to ge			
Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
Pinus strobus	Eastern White pine	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Quercus alba	White Oak	Jack O'Lantern Mushroom (Omphalotus olearius)		0	0	1	0
Quercus palustris	Pin Oak	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif)	1	0	0	0
Quercus palustris	Pin Oak	Scorch (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Syringa microphylla	Little Leaf lilac	Pseudomonas Canker (<i>Pseudomonas</i> sp./spp.)		0	1	0	0
Syringa microphylla	Little Leaf lilac	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Syringa microphylla	Little Leaf lilac	Verticillium Wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)		0	1	0	0
Thuja sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Fall Needle Drop (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Thuja sp./spp.	Arborvitae	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Thuja sp./spp.	Arborvitae	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)		1	0	0	0
Viburnum farreri	Fragrant Viburnum	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)		1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

- Suspected Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.
- Inconclusive Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis			е		
Scientific Name	Common Name	his section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; ence this section does not represent the total number of samples		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
Viburnum farreri	Fragrant	Convolv (Alaintia disputation)		0	<u> </u>	1	
viburnam jarren	Fragrant Viburnum	Scorch (Abiotic disorder)			0	1	U
Viburnum fragrans	Fragrant Viburnum	Crown Rot; Root Rot; Stem Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)		0	1	0	0
Viburnum fragrans	Fragrant Viburnum	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.