

<i>Time Period Report for June 18<sup>th</sup> through June 24<sup>th</sup>, 2013</i>			Confidence (to genus)			
Host		Diagnosis  This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
Scientific Name	Common Name					
<i>Asarum europacum</i>	European Ginger	Anthracnose Stem Blight ( <i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Asarum europacum</i>	European Ginger	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Brachypodium distachyon</i>	Purple False brome	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Brachypodium distachyon</i>	Purple False brome	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Brachypodium distachyon</i>	Purple False brome	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight ( <i>Calonectria</i> (ana. <i>Cylindrocladium</i> ) <i>pseudonaviculata</i> ( <i>pseudonaviculatum</i> ))	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Boxwood Mite ( <i>Eurytetranychus buxi</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Volutella Leaf Blight; Dieback ( <i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cornus alba elegantissima</i>	Variiegated Red -twig dogwood	High Soluble Salt (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cornus alba elegantissima</i>	Variiegated Red -twig dogwood	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i>	Japanese Cedar	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cycas revoluta</i>	Sago Palm	Nutritional Deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cycas revoluta</i>	Sago Palm	Scale Insects (Order homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cycas revoluta</i>	Sago Palm	Sooty Mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Impatiens walleriana</i>	Impatiens	Downy Mildew ( <i>Plasmopara obducens</i> )	2	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Juniper Scale ( <i>Carulaspis juniperi</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Spider Mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Transplant Shock; Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Powdery Mildew ( <i>Oidium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Parthenocissus tricuspidata</i>	Boston Ivy	Leaf Spot ( <i>Guignardia (Phyllosticta) bidwellii (ampelicida)</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Magnesium Deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Unspecified Pathology ( <i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Cytospora Canker; Dieback ( <i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	High pH Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	2	0	1	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

<i>Quercus falcata</i>	Red Oak	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus falcata</i>	Red Oak	Leaf Spot ( <i>Tubakia</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	High pH Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp.	Oak	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp.	Oak	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp.	Oak	Tatters (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Taxus</i> sp./spp.	Yew	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Taxus</i> sp./spp.	Yew	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Taxus</i> sp./spp.	Yew	Sooty Mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Taxus</i> sp./spp.	Yew	Taxus Mealybug ( <i>Dysmicoccus wistariae</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Tsuga</i> sp./spp.	Hemlock	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tsuga</i> sp./spp.	Hemlock	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Leafspot Crown and Root Rot; ( <i>Bipolaris sorokiniana</i> )	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Soil Compaction (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Anthracnose; Colletotrichum Leaf Spot ( <i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Magnaporthe Summer Patch ( <i>Magnaporthe poae</i> )	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Red Thread ( <i>Laetisaria fuciformis</i> )	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.