Scientific Name Common Name This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Host		Diagnosis			Confid (to ge		
	Scientific Name	Common Name			me	Not Detected	uspecte	Inconclusive

		Time Period Report for July 15 th through July 21 st , 2014				
Abies balsamea	Balsam Fir	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
Acer sp./spp.	Maple	Unspecified Pathology (<i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Acer sp./spp.	Maple	Wood Decay Fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
Allium sativum	Garlic	Corm and Bulb Rot (<i>Penicillium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Allium sativum	Garlic	Stem and Bulb Nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>)	0	2	0	0
Allium sp./spp.	Allium; Onions; leeks; garlic	White Rot (Stromatinia (Sclerotium) cepivora (cepivorum))	1	0	0	0
Allium sp./spp.	Allium; Onions; leeks; garlic	Bulb Mite (Rhizoglyphus sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Allium sp./spp.	Allium; Onions; leeks; garlic	Canker (Alternaria (Embellisia) embellisia (alli))	1	0	0	0
Allium sp./spp.	Allium; Onions; leeks; garlic	Fusarium sp.	1	0	0	0
Buxus sempervirens	Common Boxwood	Macrophoma Blight; Dieback (<i>Macrophoma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

- Confirmed The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.
- Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.
- Suspected Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.
- Inconclusive Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis		Confidence (to genus)				
Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive	
Buxus sempervirens	Common Boxwood	Mechanical Damage (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0	
Buxus sempervirens	Common Boxwood	Volutella Leaf Blight; Dieback (<i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0	
Buxus sp./spp.	Boxwood	Macrophoma Blight; Dieback (<i>Macrophoma</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0	
Buxus sp./spp.	Boxwood	Mechanical Damage (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0	
Buxus sp./spp.	Boxwood	Volutella Leaf Blight; Dieback (Volutella sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0	
Capsicum sp./spp.	Pepper	Bacterial Leaf Spot (<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i>)		1	0	0	0	
Capsicum sp./spp.	Pepper	Tomato; Pepper Bacterial Spot (Xanthomonas campestris pv. vesicatoria)		0	0	1	0	
Chrysanthemum sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)		0	0	1	0	
Chrysanthemum sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Planting Too Deep (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0	
Cucurbita sp./spp.	Pumpkin	Cucurbit Bacterial Wilt (Erwinia tracheiphila)		1	0	0	0	
Cucurbita sp./spp	Squash	Bacterial Soft Rot (Unidentified Bacterium)		1	0	0	0	
Cucurbita sp./spp	Squash	High Soluble Salt (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0	

- Confirmed The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.
- Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.
- Suspected Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.
- Inconclusive Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis		Confider (to genu			
Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
Cucurbita sp./spp	Squash	Plectosporium Blight (Monographella (Plectosporium) sp./spp.)		3	0	0	0
Cucurbita sp./spp	Squash	Bacterial Spot (Xanthomonas cucurbitae (campestris) (pv. cucurbitae))		1	0	0	0
Cucurbita sp./spp.	Squash	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0
Delphinium spp.	Larkspur	Pythium Root Rot and/or Crown Rot (<i>Pythium ultimum</i>)	'ythium Root Rot and/or Crown Rot (<i>Pythium ultimum</i>)		0	0	0
Fragaria sp./spp.	Strawberry	nsufficient Sample (Identification Analysis)		1	0	0	0
Fragaria sp./spp.	Strawberry	pH Induced Pathology (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Humulus lupulus	Hops	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	3	0
Humulus lupulus	Hops	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)		3	0	0	0
Humulus lupulus	Hops	Spider Mites (Family Tetranychidae)		2	0	0	0
Lycopersicon sp./spp.	Tomato	Early Blight; Leaf Spot (Alternaria solani)		1	0	0	0
Phaseolus vulgaris	Snap Bean; green bean	Bacterial Brown Spot (<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> pv. <i>syringae</i>)		1	0	0	0
Picea abies	Norway Spruce	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis			dence enus)	
Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples		Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
Picea abies	Norway Spruce	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	Stigmina Needle Blight (<i>Stigmina lautii</i>)	1	0	0	0
Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	Unspecified Pathology (<i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Quercus phellos	Willow Oak	Armillaria Root Rot (<i>Armillaria (Armillariella</i>) sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
Quercus phellos	Willow Oak	Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
Quercus phellos	Willow Oak	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Quercus sp./spp.	Oak	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
Rosa sp./spp.	Rose	Rose Rosette Disease (Rose rosette-associated virus (RRaV))	1	0	0	0
Rosa sp./spp.	Rose	Rose Rosette Disease Mite (Phyllocoptes fructiphilus)	0	1	0	0
Solanum tuberosum	Potato	Brown Spot (Alternaria alternata (tenius))	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Bulb and Stem Nematodes Genus (<i>Tylenchus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

- Confirmed The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.
- Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.
- Suspected Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.
- Inconclusive Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis				onfidence to genus)	
Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	is section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; nce this section does not represent the total number of samples		Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Bulb; Stem Nematodes (<i>Ditylenchus</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Lance Nematodes (Hoplolaimus sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Lesion Nematodes (<i>Pratylenchus</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Pin Nematode (<i>Paratylenchus</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Spiral Nematodes (Helicotylenchus sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Stunt Nematodes (<i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.