Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis				Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or id hence this section does not represent the total number of samples		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive		

		Time Period Report for August 19 th through August 25 th ,2014				
Acer palmatum	Japanese Maple	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	0	0	1	0
Acer palmatum	Japanese Maple	Sooty Mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
Acer palmatum	Japanese Maple	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Acer palmatum	Japanese Maple	Verticillium Wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	Discula Anthracnose (<i>Discula</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	Leaf Scorch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Allium cepa	Onion	Leaf Blight; Leaf Spot (<i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Allium cepa	Onion	Onion Downy Mildew (Peronospora destructor)	1	0	0	0
Allium cepa	Onion	Stemphylium Leaf Spot (Stemphylium sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0

- Confirmed The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.
- Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.
- Suspected Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.
- Inconclusive Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis			dence enus)		
Scientific Name	Scientific Name Common Name This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples		one or more diagnosis or identification;	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
Buxus sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight (Calonectria (ana. Cylindrocladium) pseudonaviculatum)		2	3	0	0
Buxus sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Volutella Blight; Canker (Volutella buxi)		3	0	0	0
Capsicum sp./spp.	Pepper	Phytophthora Root/ Stem/ Crown Rot (Phytophthora capsici)		1	0	0	0
Euphorbia pulcherrima	Poinsettia	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Euphorbia pulcherrima	Poinsettia	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)		1	0	0	0
Euphorbia pulcherrima	Poinsettia	Unidentified Virus (Unidentified Virus)		0	0	1	0
Ilex x meserveae	Blue Holly	Black Root Rot (<i>Thielaviopsis (Chalara) basicola (elegans)</i>)		0	1	0	0
Ilex x meserveae	Blue Holly	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	2	0
Impatiens sp./spp.	Impatiens	Downy Mildew (<i>Plasmopara obducens</i>)		2	0	0	0
Juniperus sp./spp.	Juniper	Pestalotiopsis Needle Blight; Tip Blight (<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp./spp.)		2	0	0	0
Juniperus sp./spp.	Juniper	Tip Blight (Kabatina sp./spp.)		2	0	0	0
Juniperus sp./spp.	Juniper	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)		1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis		Confider (to genu			
Scientific Name	Common Name Common Name This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive	
Juniperus sp./spp.	Juniper	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)		0	1	0
Juniperus sp./spp.	Juniper	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)		1	0	0	0
Juniperus sp./spp.	Juniper	Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)		0	1	0	0
Leucanthemum x superbum	Shasta Daisy	Pythium Root and/or Crown Rot (<i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)		0	0	1	0
Lycopersicon sp./spp.	Tomato	Late Blight (Phytophthora infestans)		2	0	0	0
Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)		1	0	0	0
Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)		1	0	0	0
Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Pinus taeda	Loblolly Pine	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)		0	0	1	0
Pinus taeda	Loblolly Pine	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)		1	0	0	0
Quercus rubra	Northern Red oak	Zonate Leaf Spot (<i>Monochaetia</i> sp./spp.		1	0	0	0
Rhododendron sp./spp.	Rhododendron	Botrytis Blight (<i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0

- Confirmed The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.
- Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.
- Suspected Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.
- Inconclusive Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Diagnostic Neview Report	Confide (to ger			
Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
Rhododendron sp./spp.	Rhododendron Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	2	0	
Rhododendron sp./spp.	Rhododendron	Unspecified Pathology (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	Unspecified Pathology (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)		0	0	0
Rhus aromatica	Fragrant Sumac	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)		1	0	0	0
Rhus aromatica	Fragrant Sumac	Eriophyid Mites (Family Eriophyidae)		0	0	1	0
Solanum tuberosum	Potato (soil)	Bulb and Stem Nematodes Genus (<i>Tylenchus</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0
Solanum tuberosum	Potato (soil)	Lesion Nematodes (<i>Pratylenchus</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0
Solanum tuberosum	Potato (soil)	Nematode (Bursaphelenchus sp./spp.)		2	0	0	0
Solanum tuberosum	Potato (soil)	Plant Parasitic Nematodes (Unspecified Genera)		0	3	0	0
Solanum tuberosum	Potato (soil)	Stunt Nematodes (<i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0
Syringa reticulata	Japanese Tree lilac	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)		1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Diagnostic Review Report

		offiversity i farit bisease biagnostic cirrie	Diagnostic Neview Neport				
Ho	st	Diagnosis				nfidence genus)	
Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
Syringa reticulata	Japanese Tree lilac	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Anthracnose; Colletotrichum Leaf Spot (Colletotrichum sp./spp.)		2	1	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Ascochyta Leaf Spot (<i>Ascochyta</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Curvularia Blight; Leaf Spot (<i>Curvularia</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Leafspot Crown and Root Rot; (Bipolaris sorokiniana)		1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Leptosphaerulina Leaf Blight (Leptosphaerulina australis)		1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Rhizoctonia Root; Crown Rot (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)		0	0	2	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Pythium Root and/or Crown Rot (<i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.