Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic Diagnostic Review Report

Host		t	Diagnosis		Confidence (to genus)			
	Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive	

	Time Period Report for July 7 th through July 13 th , 2015								
Acer freemanii	Freeman's Maple	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	0	0	1	0			
Acer sp./spp.	Maple	Maple Canker (<i>Stegonsporium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0			
Acer sp./spp.	Maple	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0			
Allium cepa	Onion	Fusarium Basal Rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0			
Allium cepa	Onion	Onion Purple (Brown) Blotch <i>(Alternaria porri</i>)	1	0	0	0			
Allium cepa	Onion	Stemphylium Leaf Blight (Stemphylium vesicarium)	1	0	0	0			
Allium sativum	Garlic	Fusarium Basal Rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0			
Allium sativum	Garlic	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0			
Buxus sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight (Calonectria pseudonaviculata)	1	1	0	0			
Forsythia courtasol	Gold Tide forsythia	Phytophthora Stem Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0			
<i>Fragaria</i> sp./spp.	Strawberry	Fusarium Root Rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0			
<i>Fragaria</i> sp./spp.	Strawberry	Strawberry Black Root Rot Complex (Various Fungi)	0	0	1	0			
Medicago sativa	Alfalfa	Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0			

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic Diagnostic Review Report

Hos	t	Diagnosis			dence enus)	-	
Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive	

Phaseolus vulgaris	Snap Bean; green bean	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
Picea abies	Norway Spruce	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
Picea abies	Norway Spruce	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Pinus strobus	Eastern White pine	Canavirgella Needle Cast (<i>Lophophacidium dooksii</i>)	1	0	0	0
Stewartia sp./spp.	Stewartia	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
Stewartia sp./spp.	Stewartia	Scale Insects (Order homoptera)	1	0	0	0
Thuja occidentalis	North. White (american) cedar	Pestalotiopsis Needle Blight; Tip Blight (<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Thuja occidentalis	North. White (american) cedar	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Anthracnose (Colletotrichum cereale)	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Brown Patch (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Vitis sp./spp.	Grape	Dagger Nematodes (<i>Xiphinem</i> a sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
Vitis sp./spp.	Grape	Fungivorous Nematodes (<i>Aphelenchus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic Diagnostic Review Report

Hos	t	Diagnosis		C onfic (to ge		:	
Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive	

Vitis sp./spp.	Grape	Lance Nematodes (Hoplolaimus sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Woody ornamentals mixed species	Woody Ornamentals	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Zea mays	Corn	Anthracnose Leaf Blight (Colletotrichum graminicola)	1	0	0	0
Zelkova sp./spp.	Zelkova	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
Zelkova sp./spp.	Zelkova	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

- Confirmed The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.
- Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.
- Suspected Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.
- Inconclusive Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.