Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis		Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name Co	ommon Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	one or more diagnosis or identification;	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

Time Period Report for September1st through September 7th , 2015							
Lycopersicon sp./spp.	Tomato	Alternaria Stem Canker (Alternaria alternata)	1	0	0	0	
Lycopersicon sp./spp.	Tomato	Early Blight; Leaf Spot (<i>Alternaria solani</i>)	1	2	0	0	
Lycopersicon sp./spp.	Tomato	Late Blight (Phytophthora infestans)	1	2	0	0	
Lycopersicon sp./spp.	Tomato	Leaf Blight; Leaf Spot (<i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0	
Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	Diplodia Blight (Sphaeropsis sapinea)	1	0	0	0	
Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	Pine Wilt Nematode (Pinewood) (Bursaphelenchus xylophilus)	0	1	0	0	
Sorbus sp./spp.	Service-tree; Mountain-ash	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0	
Sorbus sp./spp.	Service-tree; Mountain-ash	Spider Mite Injury (Unidentified Spider Mite)	1	0	0	0	
Sorbus sp./spp.	Service-tree; Mountain-ash	Yellow-bellied Sapsucker (Sphyrapicus varius)	1	0	0	0	
Turfgrass mixed	Turfgrass	Stem Blight Anthracnose (Colletotrichum cereale)	1	0	0	0	

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis		Confidence (to genus)				
Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive	
	Ī	T						
species								
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Take All (Gaeumannomyces graminis var. avenae)		1	0	0	0	
Viburnum sp./spp.	Viburnum	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)		1	0	0	0	
Viburnum sp./spp.	Viburnum	Insufficient Sample (Identification Analysis)		1	0	0	0	

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.