

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for June 7th through June 13th, 2016

Scientific Name	Common Name	Diagnosis	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Winter Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Agrostis stolonifera</i>	Creeping Bentgrass	Anthracnose (<i>Colletotrichum cereale</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Agrostis stolonifera</i>	Creeping Bentgrass	Brown Patch (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Moisture Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Winter Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cucurbita pepo</i>	Field Pumpkin	Insufficient Sample (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucurbita pepo</i>	Field Pumpkin	Leaf Spot (<i>Xanthomonas campestris</i> pv. <i>cucurbitae</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Nutritional Deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ilex crenata</i>	Japanese Holly	Black Root Rot (<i>Thielaviopsis basicola</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ilex crenata</i>	Japanese Holly	Moisture Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Ligustrum</i> sp./spp.	Privet	Alternaria Leaf Spot (<i>Alternaria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Chemical; Environmental Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)	2	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Tomato Spotted Wilt (TSWV) (Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus)	0	2	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Magnesium Deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Cucumber Mosaic (CMV) (Cucumovirus Cucumber Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Herbicide Injury; Exposure (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Potyvirus Group (<i>Potyvirus</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Tobacco Mosaic (TMV) (Tobravirus Tobacco Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Pear Leaf Blister Mite (<i>Phytoptus pyri</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Rust (<i>Gymnosporangium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Petunia</i> sp./spp.	Surfinia	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Petunia sp./spp.</i>	Surfinia	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Petunia sp./spp.</i>	Surfinia	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Weir's Cushion Rust (<i>Chrysomyxa weirii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Pine Bark Adelgid (<i>Pineus strobi</i>)	0	0	2	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Spider Mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	American Sycamore	Sycamore Anthracnose (<i>Apiognomonia veneta</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Winter Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Pink Patch (<i>Limonomyces roseipellis</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus sp./spp.</i>	Elm	Dutch Elm Disease (<i>Ophiostoma sp./spp.</i>)	4	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.