

| Host | | Diagnosis | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| | | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples | | | | |

Time Period Report for April 24th through April 30th 2018

| Scientific Name | Common Name | Diagnosis | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| <i>Begonia</i> sp./spp. | Begonia | Bacterial blight (<i>Xanthomonas</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Begonia</i> sp./spp. | Begonia | Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Begonia</i> sp./spp. | Begonia | Unspecified pathology (<i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Camellia sasanqua</i> | Sasanqua Camellia | Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Camellia sasanqua</i> | Sasanqua Camellia | Phytophthora dieback; Blight (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Camellia sasanqua</i> | Sasanqua Camellia | Root damage (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Ilex crenata</i> | Japanese Holly | Black root rot (<i>Thielaviopsis basicola</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Malus domestica</i> | Domestic Apple | Fire blight (<i>Erwinia amylovora</i>) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Petunia</i> sp./spp. hybrids | Petunias | Impatiens necrotic spot (INSV) (Tospovirus Impatiens Necrotic Spot Virus) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Petunia</i> sp./spp. hybrids | Petunias | Potyvirus Group (<i>Potyvirus</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Petunia</i> sp./spp. hybrids | Petunias | Stem rot (<i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

| Host | | Diagnosis | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| | | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples | | | | |
| <i>Petunia</i> sp./spp. hybrids | Petunias | Tomato spotted wilt (TSWV) (Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Picea</i> sp./spp. | Spruce | Rhizosphaera needle cast (<i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Picea</i> sp./spp. | Spruce | Spruce spider mite (<i>Oligonychus ununguis</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Picea</i> sp./spp. | Spruce | Stigmata needle blight (<i>Stigmata lautii</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp. | Azalea; Rhododendron | Leaf and flower gall (<i>Exobasidium</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.