

| Host | | Diagnosis | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| | | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples | | | | |

Time Period Report for August 21st through August 27^h 2018

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|
| <i>Abies</i> sp./spp. | Fir | Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Abies</i> sp./spp. | Fir | Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Abies</i> sp./spp. | Fir | Needle blight (Unidentified Agent) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Abies</i> sp./spp. | Fir | Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Aesculus</i> sp./spp. | Horsechestnut | Flathead; Metallic wood borers (Family Buprestidae) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Aesculus</i> sp./spp. | Horsechestnut | Leaf blotch (<i>Phyllosticta sphaeropsoides</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Aesculus</i> sp./spp. | Horsechestnut | Sooty mold (Unidentified Fungus) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp. | Bentgrass | Algae (General) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Buxus</i> sp./spp. | Boxwood | Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Calycanthus floridus</i> | Sweetshrub; Carolina allspice | Crown rot; Root rot; Stem rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Calycanthus floridus</i> | Sweetshrub; Carolina allspice | Wood boring insect damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cucumis sativus</i> | Cucumber | Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cucumis sativus</i> | Cucumber | Cucurbit bacterial wilt (<i>Erwinia tracheiphila</i>) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Cucumis sativus</i> | Cucumber | Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

| Host | | Diagnosis | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| | | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples | | | | |
| <i>Echinacea</i> sp./spp. | Coneflower | Cucumber mosaic (CMV) (Cucumovirus Cucumber Mosaic Virus) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Echinacea</i> sp./spp. | Coneflower | Fusarium root; Crown rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Echinacea</i> sp./spp. | Coneflower | High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| <i>Echinacea</i> sp./spp. | Coneflower | Impatiens necrotic spot (INSV) (Tospovirus Impatiens Necrotic Spot Virus) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Echinacea</i> sp./spp. | Coneflower | Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus sp./spp.) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Echinacea</i> sp./spp. | Coneflower | Tobacco mosaic (TMV) (Tobamovirus Tobacco Mosaic Virus) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Echinacea</i> sp./spp. | Coneflower | Tomato spotted wilt (TSWV) (Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Echinacea</i> sp./spp. | Coneflower | Unidentified virus (Unidentified Virus) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Echinacea</i> sp./spp. | Coneflower | Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Heliopsis helianthoides</i> | Summer Heliopsis | Insect damage (Unidentified Insect) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Heliopsis helianthoides</i> | Summer Heliopsis | No pathogen found (Identification Analysis) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Lavandula angustifolia</i> | English Lavender | Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Lavandula angustifolia</i> | English Lavender | Crown rot; Root rot; Stem rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

| Host | | Diagnosis | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|--|------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| | | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples | | | | |
| <i>Lavandula angustifolia</i> | English Lavender | Tobacco mosaic (TMV) (Tobamovirus Tobacco Mosaic Virus) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Quercus robur</i> | English Oak | Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Quercus robur</i> | English Oak | Leaf spot (<i>Tubakia</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Rudbeckia hirta</i> | Blackeyed Susan | Crown and root rot (Unidentified Agent) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Rudbeckia hirta</i> | Blackeyed Susan | High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Rudbeckia hirta</i> | Blackeyed Susan | Unspecified pathology (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Thuja standishii</i> x <i>plicata</i> | Green Giant arborvitae | Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Thuja standishii</i> x <i>plicata</i> | Green Giant arborvitae | Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Ulmus americana</i> | American Elm | Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Ulmus americana</i> | American Elm | Dutch elm disease (<i>Ophiostoma</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.