

| Host | | Diagnosis | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| | | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples | | | | |

Time Period Report for August 28th through September 10th 2018

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| <i>Allium sativum</i> | Garlic | Eriophyid mites (Family Eriophyidae) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Allium sativum</i> | Garlic | Stem and bulb nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>) | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Buxus sp./spp. | Boxwood | Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>) | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Buxus sp./spp. | Boxwood | Boxwood Volutella blight; Canker (<i>Volutella buxi</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Buxus sp./spp. | Boxwood | Environmental stress; Problem (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Buxus sp./spp. | Boxwood | Unspecified pathology (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cotinus</i> sp./spp. | Smoke Tree | Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cupressus</i> × <i>leylandii</i> | Leyland Cypress | Dieback; Canker (<i>Seiridium</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cupressus</i> × <i>leylandii</i> | Leyland Cypress | Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Dahlia</i> sp./spp. | Dahlia | Bacterial rot; Bacterial blight (<i>Dickeya</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Dahlia</i> sp./spp. | Dahlia | Bacterial wilt (<i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i>) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Fragaria</i> × <i>ananassa</i> | Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry | Crown and root rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

| Host | | Diagnosis | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| | | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples | | | | |
| <i>Fragaria x ananassa</i> | Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry | Strawberry black root rot complex (Various Fungi) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Fragaria x ananassa</i> | Commercial Strawberry; garden strawberry | Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Glycine max</i> | Soybean | Anthracnose (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Glycine max</i> | Soybean | Fusarium wilt (<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Glycine max</i> | Soybean | Soybean pod and stem blight (<i>Diaporthe phaseolorum</i> var. <i>sojae</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Humulus lupulus</i> | Hops | Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Humulus lupulus</i> | Hops | Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Humulus lupulus</i> | Hops | Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Ilex opaca</i> | American Holly | Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Ilex opaca</i> | American Holly | High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Ilex opaca</i> | American Holly | Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp. | Rhododendron | Crown rot; Root rot; Stem rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

| Host | | Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| <i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp. | Rhododendron | High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Syringa reticulata</i> | Japanese Tree lilac | Crown rot; Root rot; Stem rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Syringa reticulata</i> | Japanese Tree lilac | No pathogen found (Identification Analysis) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Syringa reticulata</i> | Japanese Tree lilac | Nutritional deficiency (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Syringa reticulata</i> | Japanese Tree lilac | Root damage (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Thlaspi arvense</i> | Field Pennycress | Bacterial blight (Unidentified Bacteria) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Thuja</i> sp./spp. | Arborvitae | Dieback; Canker (<i>Seiridium</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Thuja</i> sp./spp. | Arborvitae | High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Thuja</i> sp./spp. | Arborvitae | Needle blight (<i>Phyllosticta</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Thuja</i> sp./spp. | Arborvitae | Unspecified pathology (<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Triticum aestivum</i> | Winter Wheat | Flag smut of grasses (<i>Urocystis agropyri</i>) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.