

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for September 11th through September 24th 2018

<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Botrytis blight (<i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Skin blotch (<i>Alternaria embellisia</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Blue mold rot (<i>Penicillium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Bulb mite (<i>Rhizoglyphus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and bulb nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>)	0	7	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Macrophoma leaf spot (<i>Dothiorella candollei</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Volutella blight; Canker (<i>Volutella buxi</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	3	1	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Leaf blight (<i>Volutella buxi</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Carpinus betulus</i>	European Hornbeam	European hornbeam leafminer (<i>Stigmella microtheriella</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Carpinus betulus</i>	European Hornbeam	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Carpinus betulus</i>	European Hornbeam	Unspecified pathology (<i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Carpinus</i> sp./spp.	Hornbeam	Anthracnose (<i>Monostichella robergei</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cercis canadensis</i>	Eastern Redbud	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cercis canadensis</i>	Eastern Redbud	Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Convallaria</i> sp./spp.	Lily-of-the-valley	Anthracnose (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Convallaria</i> sp./spp.	Lily-of-the-valley	Environmental stress; Problem (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Convallaria</i> sp./spp.	Lily-of-the-valley	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Convallaria</i> sp./spp.	Lily-of-the-valley	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fagus grandifolia</i>	American Beech	Intumescence (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fagus grandifolia</i>	American Beech	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Hamamelis</i> sp./spp.	Witchhazel	Leaf scorch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Hamamelis</i> sp./spp.	Witchhazel	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Alternaria cone disorder (<i>Alternaria alternata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Hop downy mildew (<i>Pseudoperonospora humuli</i>)	3	0	0	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Black mold; Mildew (<i>Alternaria alternata</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Powdery mildew (<i>Podosphaera macularis</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Larix decidua</i>	European Larch	Larch needle cast; European (<i>Mycosphaerella laricina</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Malus domestica</i>	Domestic Apple	Honeycrisp chlorosis (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus domestica</i>	Domestic Apple	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus domestica</i>	Domestic Apple	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Malus domestica</i>	Domestic Apple	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ostrya virginiana</i>	Hophornbeam	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ostrya virginiana</i>	Hophornbeam	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>	Cherry-laurel	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>	Cherry-laurel	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>	Cherry-laurel	Shothole (Various Pathogens)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	Swamp Chestnut oak	Aphids; Plant lice (Family Aphididae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	Swamp Chestnut oak	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	Swamp Chestnut oak	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	High pH damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Leaf spot (<i>Tubakia dryina</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus robur</i>	English Oak	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp. red	Red Oaks	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Reseda luteola</i>	Dyer's Rocket	Cercospora leaf spot (<i>Cercospora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Potato black leg; Stem rot (<i>Pectobacterium</i> sp.)	4	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Pestalotiopsis dieback (<i>Pestalotiopsis funerea</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	European Grape	Tobacco ringspot (TRSV) (Nepovirus Tobacco Ringspot Virus)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.