

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for October 15th through October 28th 2019

<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway Maple	Armillaria root rot; Butt rot (<i>Armillaria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Crown and root rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Mechanical damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer</i> sp./spp.	Maple	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Acer</i> sp./spp.	Maple	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cryptomeria</i> sp./spp.	Cryptomeria	Dieback; Canker (<i>Seiridium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria</i> sp./spp.	Cryptomeria	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cryptomeria</i> sp./spp.	Cryptomeria	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cryptomeria</i> sp./spp.	Cryptomeria	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cupressus × leylandii</i>	Leyland Cypress	Dieback; Canker (<i>Seiridium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Cupressus × leylandii</i>	Leyland Cypress	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fagus grandifolia</i>	American Beech	Leaf gall nematode (<i>Litylenchus crenatae</i>) [from leaf tissue]	6	0	0	0
<i>Fagus grandifolia</i>	American Beech	Leaf gall nematode (<i>Litylenchus crenatae</i>) [from branch tissue]	0	5	0	0
<i>Fagus</i> sp./spp.	Beech	Leaf gall nematode (<i>Litylenchus crenatae</i>) [from leaf tissue]	3	0	0	0
<i>Fagus</i> sp./spp.	Beech	Leaf gall nematode (<i>Litylenchus crenatae</i>) [from branch tissue]	0	2	0	0
<i>Fagus</i> sp./spp.	Beech	Eriophyid mites (Family Eriophyidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Hydrangea paniculata</i>	Panicle Hydrangea	Armillaria root rot (<i>Armillaria</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Hydrangea paniculata</i>	Panicle Hydrangea	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Hydrangea paniculata</i>	Panicle Hydrangea	Unspecified pathology (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Hydrangea paniculata</i>	Panicle Hydrangea	Unspecified pathology (<i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Hydrangea paniculata</i>	Panicle Hydrangea	Wood boring insect damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus Group)	0	1	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Refer'd to private testing lab (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Tobacco mosaic (TMV) (Tobamovirus Tobacco Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Pine wilt nematode (Pinewood) (<i>Bursaphelenchus xylophilus</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus sp./spp.</i>	Oak	Anthracnose (<i>Discula quercina</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus sp./spp.</i>	Oak	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus velutina</i>	Black Oak	Anthracnose (<i>Discula quercina</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus velutina</i>	Black Oak	Leaf scorch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus velutina</i>	Black Oak	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rheum rhabarbarum</i>	Rhubarb	Dagger nematodes (<i>Xiphinema sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Rheum rhabarbarum</i>	Rhubarb	Lesion nematodes (<i>Pratylenchus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rheum rhabarbarum</i>	Rhubarb	Pin nematodes (<i>Paratylenchus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rheum rhabarbarum</i>	Rhubarb	Rhubarb crown rot (<i>Erwinia rhapontici</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Algae (General)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Dieback; Canker (<i>Seiridium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Tsuga canadensis</i>	Eastern Hemlock	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tsuga canadensis</i>	Eastern Hemlock	Witches broom (unidentified)	0	0	1	0
<i>Tsuga</i> sp./spp.	Hemlock	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tsuga</i> sp./spp.	Hemlock	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Tsuga</i> sp./spp.	Hemlock	Wood boring insect damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect)	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Ascochyta blight (<i>Ascochyta</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Melting out (Turfgrass) (<i>Drechslera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.