

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for February 19th through March 4th 2018

<i>Beta vulgaris</i> var. <i>cicla</i>	Swiss Chard	Pythium root rot and/or crown rot (<i>Pythium ultimum</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	Stem rot (<i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Petunia</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Petunias	Boron deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Petunia</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Petunias	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Petunia</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Petunias	Tobacco mosaic (TMV) (Tobamovirus Tobacco Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Petunia</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Petunias	Tomato spotted wilt (TSWV) (Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Black pit (<i>Alternaria alternata</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Tulipa</i> spp.	Tulips	Bacterial soft rot (Unidentified Bacterium)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tulipa</i> spp.	Tulips	Low pH damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Tulipa</i> spp.	Tulips	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tulipa</i> spp.	Tulips	Unspecified pathology (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.