

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for April 30th through May 13th 2019

		Time Period Report for April 30 th through May 13 th 2019				
<i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp.	Bentgrass	Algae (General)	2	0	0	0
<i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp.	Bentgrass	Anthracnose (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp.	Bentgrass	Drainage problem (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	5	5	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Mechanical damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Unspecified pathology (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Volutella leaf blight; Dieback (<i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Celosia</i> sp./spp.	Cockscomb; Celosia	Bacterial leaf spot (<i>Pseudomonas</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cupressus</i> × <i>leylandii</i>	Leyland Cypress	Crown and root rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Cupressus × leylandii</i>	Leyland Cypress	Planting too deep (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Dahlia</i> sp./spp.	Dahlia	Cucumber mosaic (CMV) (Cucumovirus Cucumber Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Dahlia</i> sp./spp.	Dahlia	Dahlia mosaic (DMV) (Caulimoviridae Dahlia Mosaic Virus)	0	0	1	0
<i>Dahlia</i> sp./spp.	Dahlia	Tomato spotted wilt (TSWV) (Tospovirus Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Ilex glabra</i>	Inkberry	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ilex glabra</i>	Inkberry	Winter injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ilex pedunculosa</i>	Longstalk Holly	Chemical; Environmental injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ilex pedunculosa</i>	Longstalk Holly	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Medicago sativa</i>	Alfalfa	Alfalfa brown root rot (<i>Phoma sclerotioides</i>)	0	2	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Dothistroma needle blight (<i>Dothistroma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Rhizosphaera needle cast (<i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Stigmina needle blight (<i>Stigmina lautii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Tip blight (<i>Diplodia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Transplant shock; Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Wound canker (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pieris japonica</i>	Japanese Andromeda	Phytophthora dieback; Blight (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Pieris japonica</i>	Japanese Andromeda	Winter injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus taeda</i>	Loblolly Pine	Needle blight of pines (<i>Ploioderma lethale</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus taeda</i>	Loblolly Pine	Unspecified pathology (<i>Lophodermium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus nigra</i>	Water Oak	Ganoderma root rot (<i>Ganoderma sessile</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus nigra</i>	Water Oak	Wood rot fungus (<i>Ganoderma applanatum</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Armillaria root rot; Butt rot (<i>Armillaria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Tsuga canadensis</i>	Eastern Hemlock	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tsuga canadensis</i>	Eastern Hemlock	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.