

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

<i>Time Period Report for July 16th through July 22nd 2019</i>						
<i>Acer rubrum</i>	Red Maple	Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp.	Bentgrass	Magnaporthe summer patch (<i>Magnaporthe poae</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp.	Bentgrass	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Castanea</i> sp./spp.	Chestnut	Armillaria root rot; Butt rot (<i>Armillaria</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Castanea</i> sp./spp.	Chestnut	Crown and root rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Castanea</i> sp./spp.	Chestnut	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cedrus deodara</i>	Deodar Cedar	Crown and root rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Cedrus deodara</i>	Deodar Cedar	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus virginiana</i>	Eastern Red cedar	Phomopsis tip blight; Needle blight (<i>Phomopsis juniperovora</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus virginiana</i>	Eastern Red cedar	Transplant shock; Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Late blight (<i>Phytophthora infestans</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Alternaria stem canker (<i>Alternaria alternata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Apple scab (<i>Venturia inaequalis</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Frogeye leaf spot; Black rot (<i>Botryosphaeria obtusa</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Fire blight (<i>Erwinia amylovora</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Spruce bud scale (<i>Physokermes</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Spruce spider mite (<i>Oligonychus ununguis</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Stigmina needle blight (<i>Stigmina lautii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Unspecified pathology (<i>Septorioides strobii</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Prunus yedoensis</i>	Yoshino Cherry	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus yedoensis</i>	Yoshino Cherry	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus yedoensis</i>	Yoshino Cherry	Wood decay fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Wood rot fungus; Dryadeus root rot (<i>Inonotus dryadeus</i>)	3	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Leaf spot (<i>Tubakia dryina</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rubus idaeus</i>	Raspberry	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rubus idaeus</i>	Raspberry	Leaf spot (<i>Sphaerulina rubi</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rubus idaeus</i>	Raspberry	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rubus idaeus</i>	Raspberry	Spur; Cane blight (<i>Didymella applanata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Syringa reticulata</i>	Japanese Tree lilac	Blight (<i>Ascochyta syringae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Taxus sp./spp.</i>	Yew	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Taxus sp./spp.</i>	Yew	Unspecified pathology (<i>Colletotrichum sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Taxus sp./spp.</i>	Yew	Unspecified pathology (<i>Phomopsis sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Animal urine damage (Vertebrate Damage)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Turfgrass mixed species</i>	Turfgrass	Anthraxnose (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Turfgrass mixed species</i>	Turfgrass	Brown patch (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Turfgrass mixed species</i>	Turfgrass	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Dutch elm disease (<i>Ophiostoma</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Ulmus procera</i>	English Elm	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus procera</i>	English Elm	Leaf scorch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ulmus procera</i>	English Elm	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.