

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

<i>Time Period Report for September 3<sup>rd</sup> through September 16<sup>th</sup> 2019</i>						
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and bulb nematode ( <i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i> )	1	6	0	0
<i>Arabidopsis</i> sp./spp.	Rockcress	Crown and root rot (Pathogen complex)	0	1	0	0
<i>Arabidopsis</i> sp./spp.	Rockcress	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Arabidopsis</i> sp./spp.	Rockcress	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Hemp	Fusarium wilt ( <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Hemp	Leaf spot ( <i>Bipolaris</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucurbita pepo</i>	Yellow Squash	Bacterial soft rot (Unidentified Bacterium)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cucurbita pepo</i>	Yellow Squash	Cucurbit gummy stem blight ( <i>Stagonosporopsis curcubitacearum</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucurbita pepo</i>	Yellow Squash	Fusarium fruit rot ( <i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Epimedium rubrum</i>	Red Barrenwort	Anthracnose ( <i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Epimedium rubrum</i>	Red Barrenwort	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Epimedium rubrum</i>	Red Barrenwort	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

# Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

# Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Ilex verticillata</i>	Winterberry	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ilex verticillata</i>	Winterberry	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ilex verticillata</i>	Winterberry	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Juglans nigra</i>	Black Walnut	Black walnut petiole gall mite ( <i>Eriophyes caulis</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Juglans nigra</i>	Black Walnut	Walnut anthracnose ( <i>Gnomonia leptostyla</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Ligustrum</i> sp./spp.	Privet	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ligustrum</i> sp./spp.	Privet	Armillaria root rot ( <i>Armillaria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ligustrum</i> sp./spp.	Privet	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight ( <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ligustrum</i> sp./spp.	Privet	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Fusarium wilt ( <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Morus alba</i>	White Mulberry	Bacterial wetwood; Slime flux (Various Pathogens)	0	0	1	0
<i>Morus alba</i>	White Mulberry	Mycosphaerella leaf spot ( <i>Phloeospora maculans</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>	Dry Bean	Bacterial blight ( <i>Xanthomonas</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>	Dry Bean	Bacterial leaf blight ( <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>	Dry Bean	Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus Group)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis  This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Picea abies nidiformis</i>	Bird's Nest spruce	Cytospora canker; Dieback ( <i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies nidiformis</i>	Bird's Nest spruce	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies nidiformis</i>	Bird's Nest spruce	Wood boring insect damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Stigmata needle blight ( <i>Stigmata lautii</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus armeniaca</i>	Apricot	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight ( <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Leaf spot ( <i>Marssonina</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rhododendron catawbiense</i>	Native Rhododendron	Crown and root rot ( <i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rhododendron catawbiense</i>	Native Rhododendron	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Rhododendron viscosum</i>	Swamp Azalea	Leaf and flower gall ( <i>Exobasidium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Syringa vulgaris</i>	Common Lilac	Blight ( <i>Ascochyta syringae</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Syringa vulgaris</i>	Common Lilac	Septoria leaf spot ( <i>Septoria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.