

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

**Time Period Report for September 22<sup>nd</sup> through October 5<sup>th</sup> 2020**

<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Root rot ( <i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Acer tataricum ginnala</i>	Amur Maple	Dieback; Twig blight; Canker ( <i>Botryosphaeria obtusa</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer tataricum ginnala</i>	Amur Maple	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and bulb nematode ( <i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i> )	0	1	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and bulb nematode ( <i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i> )	1	2	0	0
<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Hemp	Plant parasitic nematodes (Family Tylenchidae)	0	1	0	0
<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Hemp	Slime mold (Class Myxogastria; Mycetozoa)	0	1	0	0
<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Hemp	Tobacco mosaic (TMV) (Tobamovirus Tobacco Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Hemp	Yeast contamination (Yeast Contamination)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucurbita moschata butternut</i>	Butternut Squash	Phytophthora fruit rot ( <i>Phytophthora capsici</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucurbita moschata butternut</i>	Butternut Squash	Sour rot ( <i>Geotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

# Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

# Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Fagus grandifolia</i>	American Beech	Beech Leaf Disease ( <i>Litylenchus crenatae</i> )	7	0	0	0
Family cruciferae	Crucifers	Pythium damping off ( <i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Itea</i> sp./spp.	Itea; Sweetspire	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Itea</i> sp./spp.	Itea; Sweetspire	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Itea</i> sp./spp.	Itea; Sweetspire	Nitrogen deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Itea</i> sp./spp.	Itea; Sweetspire	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Branch girdling (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
Mixed Plant material	Mixed Plant material	Powdery mildew ( <i>Oidium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Mixed Plant material	Mixed Plant material	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
Mixed Plant material	Mixed Plant material	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea omorika</i>	Serbian Spruce	Mechanical damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea omorika</i>	Serbian Spruce	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea omorika</i>	Serbian Spruce	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Picea omorika</i>	Serbian Spruce	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Bacterial leaf scorch ( <i>Xylella fastidiosa</i> )	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Leaf scorch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Leaf spot ( <i>Tubakia dryina</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rosa</i> sp./spp.	Rose	Canker (Unidentified Fungus)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rosa</i> sp./spp.	Rose	Black spot (Rose) ( <i>Diplocarpon rosae</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Taxus</i> sp./spp.	Yew	Algae (General)	1	0	0	0
<i>Taxus</i> sp./spp.	Yew	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Taxus</i> sp./spp.	Yew	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Taxus</i> sp./spp.	Yew	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Viola</i> sp./spp.	Violas (violet; pansy)	Black root rot ( <i>Berkeleyomyces basicola</i> )	2	0	0	0
<i>Viola</i> sp./spp.	Violas (violet; pansy)	Leaf Spot ( <i>Alternaria alternata</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Viola</i> sp./spp.	Violas (violet; pansy)	Leaf spot (Unidentified Fungus)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

**Confirmed** - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

**Not Detected** -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

**Suspected** - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

**Inconclusive** - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.