

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

<i>Time Period Report for October 20th through November 2nd 2020</i>						
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Canker (Unidentified Agent)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer saccharinum</i>	Silver Maple	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer saccharinum</i>	Silver Maple	Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Acer saccharinum</i>	Silver Maple	Wound canker (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Wound canker (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Fusarium dry rot; Bulb rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Skin blotch (<i>Alternaria embellisia</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and bulb nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Aster novae-angliae</i>	New England aster	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Aster novae-angliae</i>	New England aster	Unspecified pathology (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Aster novae-angliae</i>	New England aster	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea acephala</i>	Kale	Pythium root and/or crown rot (<i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Euphorbia pulcherrima</i>	Poinsettia	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Euphorbia pulcherrima</i>	Poinsettia	Wound canker (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Fagus</i> sp./spp.	Beech	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Malus domestica</i>	Domestic Apple	Bitter pit (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Malus domestica</i>	Domestic Apple	Flyspeck (<i>Schizothyrium pomi</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	Rhizoctonia stem and root rot (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	4	4	0	0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Philodendron</i> sp./spp.	Philodendron	Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus Group)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Rhizosphaera needle cast (<i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Stigmina needle blight (<i>Stigmina lautii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens fastigiata</i>	Colorado Blue spruce	Stigmina needle blight (<i>Stigmina lautii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens fastigiata</i>	Colorado Blue spruce	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens fastigiata</i>	Colorado Blue spruce	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White Oak	Wood rot fungus; Sheep's head (<i>Grifola frondosa</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Trunk rot (<i>Laetiporus sulphureus</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Bacterial leaf scorch (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Leaf scorch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rhus copallinum</i>	Winged Sumac	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rhus copallinum</i>	Winged Sumac	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rhus copallinum</i>	Winged Sumac	Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

<i>Rosa carolina</i>	Pasture Rose	Black spot (Rose) (<i>Diplocarpon rosae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rosa carolina</i>	Pasture Rose	Powdery mildew (<i>Podosphaera pannosa</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rosa rugosa</i>	Rugosa Rose	Black spot (Rose) (<i>Diplocarpon rosae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rosa rugosa</i>	Rugosa Rose	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Salvia guaranitica</i>	Blue Anise sage	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Salvia guaranitica</i>	Blue Anise sage	Root-knot nematodes (<i>Meloidogyne</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Bacterial soft rot (Unidentified Bacterium)	1	0	0	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Fusarium rot (<i>Fusarium solani</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Hollow heart (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus rubra</i>	Slippery (forest) elm	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	3	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus rubra</i>	Slippery (forest) elm	Dutch elm disease (<i>Ophiostoma</i> sp./spp.)	0	3	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Ulmus rubra</i>	Slippery (forest) elm	Elms yellows; Elm phloem necrosis (<i>Candidatus Phytoplasma ulmi</i>)	0	0	3	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.