

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for November 17th through December 18th 2020

<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood leafminer (<i>Monarthropalpus flavus (buxi)</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood mite (<i>Eurytetranychus buxi</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood psyllid (<i>Cacopsylla buxi</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Hemp	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	0	0	0	0
<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Hemp	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	1	0
<i>Eruca sativa</i>	Arugula	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fagus grandifolia</i>	American Beech	Beech Leaf Disease (<i>Litylenchus crenatae</i>)	3	0	0	0
<i>Fragaria</i> sp./spp.	Strawberry	Rhizoctonia root rot (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	3	0	0	0
<i>Fragaria</i> sp./spp.	Strawberry	Root rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	3	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	2	0	0	0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	0	7	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Eastern spruce gall adelgid (<i>Adelges abietis</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Spruce spider mite (<i>Oligonychus ununguis</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Stigmata needle blight (<i>Stigmata lautii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Weir's cushion rust (<i>Chrysomyxa weirii</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Eastern spruce gall adelgid (<i>Adelges abietis</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Spruce spider mite (<i>Oligonychus ununguis</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Stigmata needle blight (<i>Stigmata lautii</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Picea sp./spp.</i>	Spruce	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizosphaera sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Platanus x acerifolia</i>	London Planetree	Branch canker; Massaria (<i>Splanchnonema platani</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Platanus x acerifolia</i>	London Planetree	Canker (Unidentified Fungus)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	Willow Oak	Wood rot fungus; Dryadeus root rot (<i>Inonotus dryadeus</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.