

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for January 21st through February 3rd 2020

<i>Abies</i> sp./spp.	Fir	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies</i> sp./spp.	Fir	Scale insects (Order Homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies</i> sp./spp.	Fir	Unspecified pathology (<i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Begonia x hiemalis</i>	Rieger Begonia; elatior begonia	Broad mite (<i>Polyphagotarsonemus latus</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Volutella leaf blight; Dieback (<i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Winter injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Capsicum annuum grossum</i>	Bell Pepper	Growth regulator effect (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Fagus</i> sp./spp.	Beech	Leaf gall nematode (<i>Litylenchus crenatae</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Lilium longiflorum</i>	Easter Lily	Bacterial soft rot (Unidentified Bacterium)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lilium longiflorum</i>	Easter Lily	Bulb mite (<i>Rhizoglyphus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Wood rot fungus (<i>Ganoderma applanatum</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live Oak	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live Oak	Root rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Ranunculus</i> sp./spp.	Crowfoot; Buttercup (ornamental)	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ranunculus</i> sp./spp.	Crowfoot; Buttercup (ornamental)	Unspecified pathology (<i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.