

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for August 11th through August 24th 2020

<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Discula anthracnose (<i>Discula</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Unspecified pathology (<i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Alcea rosea</i>	Hollyhock	Mallow rust; Hollyhock rust (<i>Puccinia malvacearum</i>)	3	0	0	0
<i>Alcea rosea</i>	Hollyhock	Potato leafhopper (<i>Empoasca fabae</i>)	0	0	3	0
<i>Alcea rosea</i>	Hollyhock	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	2	0	0	0
<i>Alcea rosea</i>	Hollyhock	Thrips damage (Unidentified Thrips)	1	0	0	0
<i>Alcea rosea</i>	Hollyhock	Unspecified pathology (<i>Alternaria</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Bulb mite (<i>Rhizoglyphus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Fusarium dry rot; Bulb rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	Cucumber	Cucurbit bacterial wilt (<i>Erwinia tracheiphila</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	Cucumber	Cucurbit downy mildew (<i>Pseudoperonospora cubensis</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	Cucumber	Striped cucumber beetle (<i>Acalymma vittatum</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Cucurbita moschata</i>	Butternut Squash	Cucumber mosaic (CMV) (Cucumovirus Cucumber Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Cucurbita moschata</i>	Butternut Squash	Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus Group)	0	1	0	0
<i>Cucurbita moschata</i>	Butternut Squash	Squash mosaic (SQMV) (Comovirus Squash Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Fagus grandifolia</i>	American Beech	Beech Leaf Disease (<i>Litylenchus crenatae</i>)	2	1	0	0
<i>Fagus grandifolia</i>	American Beech	Leaf gall nematode (<i>Litylenchus crenatae</i>)	3	1	0	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Fusarium stem; Root rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Soybean brown stem rot (<i>Cadophora gregata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Chimera (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Cucumber mosaic (CMV) (Cucumovirus Cucumber Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus Group)	0	1	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Tobacco mosaic (TMV) (Tobamovirus Tobacco Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Malus sp./spp.</i>	Crabapple	Apple scab (<i>Venturia inaequalis</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus sp./spp.</i>	Crabapple	Frogeye leaf spot; Black rot (<i>Botryosphaeria obtusa</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus sp./spp.</i>	Crabapple	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Paeonia sp./spp.</i>	Peony	Freeze; Frost; Cold damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Paeonia sp./spp.</i>	Peony	Peony leaf blotch (<i>Cladosporium paeoniae</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Paeonia sp./spp.</i>	Peony	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>	Snap Bean; green bean	Cucumber mosaic (CMV) (Cucumovirus Cucumber Mosaic Virus)	0	1	0	0
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>	Snap Bean; green bean	Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus Group)	0	1	0	0
<i>Prunus serrulata</i>	Japanese Flowering cherry	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus serrulata</i>	Japanese Flowering cherry	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus serrulata</i>	Japanese Flowering cherry	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live Oak	Mites (Order Acari)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live Oak	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live Oak	Oak twig blight (<i>Coryneum kunzei</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja standishii x plicata</i>	Green Giant arborvitae	Arborvitae needle blight (<i>Phyllosticta thujae</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Thuja standishii x plicata</i>	Green Giant arborvitae	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	3	0	0	0
<i>Thuja standishii x plicata</i>	Green Giant arborvitae	Pestalotiopsis needle blight; Tip blight (<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp./spp.)	3	0	0	0
<i>Thuja standishii x plicata</i>	Green Giant arborvitae	Unspecified pathology (<i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tilia cordata</i>	Small-leaved European linden	Linden leaf blotch (<i>Asteroma tiliae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus</i> sp./spp.	Elm	Dutch elm disease (<i>Ophiostoma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Veronicastrum virginicum</i>	Culver's Root	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Veronicastrum virginicum</i>	Culver's Root	Poor pollination (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.