

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for August 25th through September 7th 2020						
<i>Abies balsamea phanerolepsis</i>	Canaan Fir	Environmental stress; Problem (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies balsamea phanerolepsis</i>	Canaan Fir	Eriophyid mites (Family Eriophyidae)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies balsamea phanerolepsis</i>	Canaan Fir	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies balsamea phanerolepsis</i>	Canaan Fir	Phomopsis dieback; Tip blight; Canker (<i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	Insect damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	Mechanical damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies fraseri</i>	Fraser Fir	Nutritional pathology (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	2	0	0	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	3	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Unspecified pathology (<i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	3	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Bulb mite (<i>Rhizoglyphus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Physiological responses (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and bulb nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>)	0	2	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood blight; Leaf and stem blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Macrophoma leaf spot (<i>Macrophoma candollei</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Unspecified pathology (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Unspecified pathology (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Volutella leaf blight; Dieback (<i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cornus alba elegantissima</i>	Variegated Red-twig dogwood	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cornus alba elegantissima</i>	Variegated Red-twig dogwood	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fagus grandifolia</i>	American Beech	Leaf gall nematode (<i>Litylenchus crenatae</i>)	3	1	0	0
<i>Fagus sylvatica pendula</i>	Weeping European beech	Lichens (Lichenes)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

<i>Fagus sylvatica pendula</i>	Weeping European beech	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fagus sylvatica pendula</i>	Weeping European beech	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Fusarium stem; Root rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Northern soybean stem canker (<i>Diaporthe caulivora</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Soybean brown stem rot (<i>Cadophora gregata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Unspecified pathology (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ilex crenata</i>	Japanese Holly	Excessive mulch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ilex crenata</i>	Japanese Holly	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ilex crenata</i>	Japanese Holly	Unspecified pathology (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Black mold; Mildew (<i>Alternaria alternata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Corky root rot (<i>Pyrenochaeta lycopersici</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Phosphorus deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Unspecified pathology (<i>Cladosporium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	Basil downy mildew (<i>Peronospora belbahrii</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet Basil	Thrips damage (Unidentified Thrips)	1	0	0	0
<i>Petroselinum crispum</i>	Parsley	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Petroselinum crispum</i>	Parsley	Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Petroselinum crispum</i>	Parsley	Unspecified pathology (<i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus thunbergiana</i>	Japanese Black pine	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus thunbergiana</i>	Japanese Black pine	Unspecified pathology (<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus</i> sp./spp.	Flowering Cherry	Crown and root rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Prunus</i> sp./spp.	Flowering Cherry	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Prunus</i> sp./spp.	Flowering Cherry	Wood decay fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Oak twig blight (<i>Coryneum kunzei</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Wound canker (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp.	Oak	Environmental stress; Problem (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp.	Oak	No pest or disease of concern found (Identification analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live Oak	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	5	0
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live Oak	Phytophthora leaf spot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live Oak	Tar spot of oak (<i>Trabutia quercina</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Rubus</i> sp./spp.	Raspberry	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rubus</i> sp./spp.	Raspberry	Freeze; Frost; Cold damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Spiraea</i> sp./spp.	Spirea	Nutritional pathology (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Spiraea</i> sp./spp.	Spirea	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Syringa patula</i>	Miss Kim lilac	Leaf scorch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Syringa patula</i>	Miss Kim lilac	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Syringa patula</i>	Miss Kim lilac	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Turfgrass mixed species</i>	Turfgrass	Algae (General)	1	0	0	0
<i>Turfgrass mixed species</i>	Turfgrass	Black layer of turfgrass (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Turfgrass mixed species</i>	Turfgrass	Drainage problem (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ulmus sp./spp.</i>	Elm	Anthracnose; Black spot (<i>Stegophora ulmea</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus sp./spp.</i>	Elm	Dutch elm disease (<i>Ophiostoma sp./spp.</i>)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.