

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for May 25th through June 7th, 2021

<i>Brassica oleracea</i>	Kale	Unspecified pathology (<i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i>	Kale	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ginkgo biloba</i>	Ginkgo	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ginkgo biloba</i>	Ginkgo	Root damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ilex glabra</i>	Inkberry	Black root rot (<i>Berkeleyomyces basicola</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Ilex glabra</i>	Inkberry	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Cedar-quince rust (<i>Gymnosporangium clavipes</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Juniper scale (<i>Carulaspis juniperi</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lonicera</i> sp./spp.	Honeysuckle	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lonicera</i> sp./spp.	Honeysuckle	Powdery mildew (<i>Oidium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Apple Blotch (<i>Marssonina coronariae</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Scab (<i>Venturia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Transplant shock; Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Wood boring insect damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Populus</i> sp./spp.	Poplar	Dothichiza canker (<i>Cryptodiaporthe populea</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Portulaca</i> sp./spp.	Purslane; Rose moss	Crown rot; Root rot; Stem rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Portulaca</i> sp./spp.	Purslane; Rose moss	Stem rot (<i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Portulaca</i> sp./spp.	Purslane; Rose moss	Unidentified bacteria (Unidentified Bacteria)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus serrulata</i>	Japanese Flowering cherry	Excessive mulch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Prunus serrulata</i>	Japanese Flowering cherry	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus serrulata</i>	Japanese Flowering cherry	Scorch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ranunculus</i> sp./spp.	Crowfoot; Buttercup	Drainage problem (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

<i>Ranunculus</i> sp./spp.	Crowfoot; Buttercup	Root rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Ranunculus</i> sp./spp.	Crowfoot; Buttercup	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rhus coppalinum</i>	Winged Sumac	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rhus coppalinum</i>	Winged Sumac	Sumac leaf curl (<i>Taphrina purpurescens</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Algae (Unidentified Algae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Needle blight (<i>Phyllosticta</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Dutch elm disease (<i>Ophiostoma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Dutch elm disease (<i>Ophiostoma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.