

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for July 6th through July 19th, 2021						
<i>Acer</i> sp./spp.	Maple	Discula anthracnose (<i>Discula</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium ascalonicum</i>	Shallot	Fusarium basal rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>capitata</i>	Cabbage	Downy mildew (<i>Hyaloperonospora parasitica</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i>	Kale	Crucifer bacterial black rot (<i>Xanthomonas campestris</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i>	Kale	Crucifer gray leaf spot (<i>Alternaria brassicae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Chaenomeles</i> sp./spp.	Flowering Quince	Fire blight (<i>Erwinia amylovora</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	Cucumber	Cucurbit bacterial wilt (<i>Erwinia tracheiphila</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	Cucumber	Striped cucumber beetle (<i>Acalymma vittatum</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fagus</i> sp./spp.	Beech	Leaf gall nematode (<i>Litylenchus crenatae</i>)	6	0	0	0
<i>Lindera benzoin</i>	Spice Bush	Anthracnose (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lindera benzoin</i>	Spice Bush	Armillaria root rot (<i>Armillaria</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lindera benzoin</i>	Spice Bush	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lindera benzoin</i>	Spice Bush	Laurel wilt (<i>Raffaelea lauricola</i>)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	Tulip Tree	Planting too deep (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	Tulip Tree	Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Eastern spruce gall adelgid (<i>Adelges abietis</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Norway spruce shoot gall midge (<i>Piceacecis abietiperda</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Spruce bud scale (<i>Physokermes piceae</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Spruce spider mite (<i>Oligonychus ununguis</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Stigmata needle blight (<i>Stigmata lautii</i>)	3	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Rhizosphaera needle cast (<i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Poa pratensis</i>	Kentucky bluegrass	Annual bluegrass (<i>Poa annua</i>)	0	0	1	0
Poa; agrostis pratensis; stolonifera	Kentucky bluegrass; bentgrass	Anthraxnose basal rot; Crown rot (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Poa; agrostis pratensis; stolonifera	Kentucky bluegrass; bentgrass	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Prunus armeniaca</i>	Apricot	Bacterial spot (<i>Xanthomonas</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Pyrus sp./spp.</i>	Pear	Graft failure (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pyrus sp./spp.</i>	Pear	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White Oak	Discula anthracnose (<i>Discula sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White Oak	Oak leaf blister (<i>Taphrina caerulescens</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White Oak	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rhododendron sp./spp.</i>	Azalea; Rhododendron	Anthracnose (<i>Colletotrichum acutatum</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rosa sp./spp.</i>	Rose	Herbicide injury; Exposure (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Tomato	Early blight; Leaf spot (<i>Alternaria solani</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Tomato	Powdery mildew (<i>Leveillula sp./spp.</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Syringa sp./spp.</i>	Lilac	Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	White Clover	Anthracnose (<i>Colletotrichum sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	White Clover	Red clover sooty blotch (<i>Cymadothea trifolii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	White Clover	Rhizoctonia stem and root rot (<i>Rhizoctonia sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass	Turfgrass	Anthracnose basal rot; Crown rot (<i>Colletotrichum sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Ulmus procera</i>	English Elm	Dutch elm disease (<i>Ophiostoma</i> sp./spp.)	3	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus</i> sp./spp.	Elm	Dutch elm disease (<i>Ophiostoma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	European Grape	Grape downy mildew (<i>Plasmopara viticola</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	European Grape	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	European Grape	Unspecified pathology (<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	European Grape	Unspecified pathology (<i>Phyllosticta</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Zoysia</i> sp./spp.	Zoysia Grass	Ascochyta blight (<i>Ascochyta</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Zoysia</i> sp./spp.	Zoysia Grass	Brown patch (<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Zoysia</i> sp./spp.	Zoysia Grass	Curvularia blight; Leaf spot (<i>Curvularia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Zoysia</i> sp./spp.	Zoysia Grass	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.