

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for September 14th through September 27th, 2021

<i>Acer platanoides</i> <i>crimson</i>	Norway Maple; crimson king	Discula anthracnose (<i>Discula</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Canker (<i>Stegonosporium pyriforme</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Sugar maple borer (<i>Glycobius speciosus</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Wood decay fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp.	Bentgrass	Brown patch (<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Bulb mite (<i>Rhizoglyphus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Eriophyid mite (<i>Aceria tulipae</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Eriophyid mites (Family Eriophyidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and bulb nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>)	0	10	0	0
<i>Cosmos atrosanguineus</i>	Cosmos	Stem rot; Southern blight (<i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	Cucumber	Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	Cucumber	Cucurbit downy mildew (<i>Pseudoperonospora cubensis</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Cupressus sempervirens</i>	Italian Cypress	Non-pathogenic; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cupressus sempervirens</i>	Italian Cypress	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cupressus sempervirens</i>	Italian Cypress	Wound canker (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Hydrangea paniculata</i>	Panicle Hydrangea	Anthracnose (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Hydrangea paniculata</i>	Panicle Hydrangea	Eriophyid gall mite (<i>Eriophyes</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Hydrangea paniculata</i>	Panicle Hydrangea	Herbicide injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Malus domestica</i>	Domestic Apple	Apple Blotch (<i>Marssonina coronariae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus domestica</i>	Domestic Apple	Potato leafhopper (<i>Empoasca fabae</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>	Kidney Bean	Bean brown spot blight (<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>	Kidney Bean	Bean root rot (<i>Fusarium solani</i> f.sp. <i>phaseoli</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>	Kidney Bean	Rhizoctonia stem and root rot (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Sooty mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce (ornamental)	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce (ornamental)	Unspecified pathology (<i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus mugo</i>	Mugo Pine; swiss mountain pine	Dieback; Canker; Twig blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Platanus x acerifolia</i>	London Planetree	Septoria leaf spot (<i>Septoria platanifolia</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Insufficient sample (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Raphanus</i> sp./spp.	Radish	Crucifer white rust (<i>Albugo candida</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rubus</i> sp./spp.	Raspberry	Japanese beetle (<i>Popillia japonica</i>)	0	0	2	0
<i>Rubus</i> sp./spp.	Raspberry	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Rubus</i> sp./spp.	Raspberry	Raspberry cane borer (<i>Oberea bimaculata</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rubus</i> sp./spp.	Raspberry	Rednecked cane borer (<i>Agrilus ruficollis</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rubus</i> sp./spp.	Raspberry	Spider mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Stachys officinalis</i>	Wood Betony	Stem rot; Southern blight (<i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Stewartia pseudocamellia</i>	Japanese Tree lilac	Verticillium wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Syringa</i> sp./spp.	Lilac	Powdery mildew (<i>Oidium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Syringa</i> sp./spp.	Lilac	Septoria leaf spot (<i>Septoria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Trachelospermum jasminoides</i>	Confederate (star-j.) jasmine	Black root rot (<i>Berkeleyomyces basicola</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Trachelospermum jasminoides</i>	Confederate (star-j.) jasmine	Rhizoctonia root rot (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass	Turfgrass	Anthraxnose (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass	Turfgrass	Brown patch (<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>)	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass	Turfgrass	Insect feeding damage (Unidentified Insect)	0	0	1	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Anthraxnose stalk rot (<i>Colletotrichum graminicola</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Corn stalk rot (<i>Gibberella zeae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	High soil moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	No pathogen found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.