

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for October 14th through October 20th, 2014

<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Needle Rust (<i>Uredinopsis</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Leaf Spot (<i>Pestalotiopsis (Pestalotia)</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Freeze; Frost; Cold Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Leaf Blight (<i>Volutella buxi</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Macrophoma Blight; Dieback (<i>Macrophoma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Thrips Damage (Unidentified Thrips)	1	0	0	0
<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Tomato Spotted Wilt (Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus (TSWV))	0	0	1	0
<i>Cedrus libani atlantica</i>	Atlas Cedar	Pestalotiopsis Needle Blight; Tip Blight (<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lactuca sativa</i>	Lettuce	Powdery Mildew (<i>Golovinomyces (Erysiphe) cichoracearum</i> var. <i>cichoracearum</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Herbicide Injury; Exposure (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Thuja occidentalis</i>	emerald green arborvitae	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja occidentalis</i>	emerald green arborvitae	Pestalotiopsis Needle Blight; Tip Blight (<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja occidentalis</i>	emerald green arborvitae	Spider Mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.