

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for November 19th through November 25th, 2013

<i>Acer</i> sp./spp.	Maple	Powdery Mildew (<i>Sawadaea</i> sp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Dennstaedtia punctilobula</i>	Hayscented Fern	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Dennstaedtia punctilobula</i>	Hayscented Fern	Excessive Water (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Dennstaedtia punctilobula</i>	Hayscented Fern	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Leucanthemum</i> sp./spp.	Leucanthemum	Bacterial Soft Rot (Unidentified Bacterium)	0	0	1	0
<i>Leucanthemum</i> sp./spp.	Leucanthemum	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	0	0	1	0
<i>Leucanthemum</i> sp./spp.	Leucanthemum	Mechanical <i>Damage</i> (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Tomato Bacterial Spot (<i>Xanthomonas perforans</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Polygonatum commutatum</i>	Soloman's Seal	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Polygonatum commutatum</i>	Soloman's Seal	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus nigra</i>	Water Oak	Bacterial Leaf Scorch (BLS) (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i> (BLS))	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp.	Oak	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp.	Oak	Oak Wilt (<i>Ceratocystis (Chalara) fagacearum (quercina)</i>)	1	2	0	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp.	Oak	Wood Rot Fungus (<i>Biscogniauxia (Hypoxyton) atropunctata (atropunctatum)</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn (forage-fodder-silage)	Excessive Water (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.