

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for February 4th through February 10th, 2014

<i>Beta vulgaris</i>	Garden Beet	Rhizoctonia Root and Stem Rot (<i>Thanatephorus (Rhizoctonia) cucumeris (solani)</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Beta vulgaris</i>	Garden Beet	Sclerotium Storage Rot (<i>Sclerotium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Chemical Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Cedar-Quince Rust (<i>Gymnosporangium clavipes</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Cucumber Mosaic (Cucumber Mosaic Virus (CMV))	0	1	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Growth Regulator Effect (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Tobacco Mosaic (Tobacco Mosaic Virus (TMV))	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.