

<i>Time Period Report for March 26th through April 1st, 2013</i>			Confidence (to genus)			
Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
Scientific Name	Common Name					
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Excessive Water (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Mechanical Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Phytophthora Crown: Root and/or Stem Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Dahlia</i> spp.	Dahlia	Excessive Water (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Dahlia</i> spp.	Dahlia	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Dahlia</i> spp.	Dahlia	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Pestalotiopsis Needle Blight; Tip Blight (<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp.	Juniper	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White Oak	Armillaria Root Rot (<i>Armillaria</i> (<i>Armillariella</i>) sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Wood Rot Fungus; Dryadeus Root Rot (<i>Inonotus dryadeus</i>)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.