

| Host | | Diagnosis | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| | | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples | | | | |

Time Period Report for May 20th through May 26th, 2014

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| <i>Abies balsamea</i> | Balsam Fir | Balsam Gall Midge (<i>Paradiplosis tumifex</i>) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Abies balsamea</i> | Balsam Fir | Botrytis Blight (<i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Acer palmatum</i> | Japanese Maple | Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Acer palmatum</i> | Japanese Maple | Root and or Pot Bound (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Cedrus deodara</i> | Deodar Cedar | Freeze; Frost; Cold Damage (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Cedrus deodara</i> | Deodar Cedar | Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Ipomoea batatas</i> | Sweetpotato | Intumescence (Abiotic disorder) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Ipomoea batatas</i> | Sweetpotato | Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp. | Juniper | Phomopsis Tip Blight; Needle Blight (<i>Phomopsis juniperovora</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp. | Juniper | Scale Insects (Order homoptera) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp. | Juniper | Spider Mites (Family Tetranychidae) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> | Tomato | Septoria Leaf Spot (<i>Septoria lycopersici</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Medicago sativa</i> | Alfalfa | Alfalfa Brown Root Rot (<i>Phoma sclerotoides</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

| Host | | Diagnosis | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| | | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples | | | | |
| <i>Picea pungens</i> | Blue Spruce | High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Picea pungens</i> | Blue Spruce | Stigmina Needle Blight (<i>Stigmina lautii</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Austrian Pine | Canker (Unidentified Fungus) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Austrian Pine | Root Damage (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Pinus sylvestris</i> | Scotch Pine | Brown Spot ; Needle Blight (<i>Mycosphaerella dearnessii</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Prunus subhirtella</i> | Higan Cherry | Brown Rot and Spur Canker (<i>Monilinia (Monilia) fructigena</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Rosa</i> sp./spp. | Rose | Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Rosa</i> sp./spp. | Rose | Verticillium Wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Thuja occidentalis</i> | North. White (american) cedar | Armillaria Root Rot (<i>Armillaria (Armillariella)</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Thuja occidentalis</i> | North. White (american) cedar | Mechanical Damage (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Thuja occidentalis</i> | North. White (american) cedar | Wood Decay Fungus (Unidentified Fungus) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

| Host | | Diagnosis | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| | | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples | | | | |
| <i>Ulmus parvifolia</i> | Chinese Elm | Bacterial Leaf Scorch (BLS) (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i> (BLS)) | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Ulmus parvifolia</i> | Chinese Elm | High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.