

<i>Time Period Report for June 4<sup>th</sup> through June 10<sup>th</sup>, 2013</i>			<b>Confidence</b> (to genus)			
<b>Host</b>		<b>Diagnosis</b> This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	<b>Confirmed</b>	<b>Not Detected</b>	<b>Suspected</b>	<b>Inconclusive</b>
<b>Scientific Name</b>	<b>Common Name</b>					
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Cytospora Canker; Dieback ( <i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Winter Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway maple	Verticillium Wilt ( <i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	0	1
<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Norway maple	Wound Canker (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer griseum</i>	Paper Bark Maple	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer griseum</i>	Paper Bark Maple	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer griseum</i>	Paper Bark Maple	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Asarum canadense</i>	Canadian Wild Ginger	Chemical Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Asarum canadense</i>	Canadian Wild Ginger	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

<i>Buxus microphylla</i>	Littleleaf Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight ( <i>Calonectria</i> (ana. <i>Cylindrocladium</i> ) <i>pseudonaviculata</i> ( <i>pseudonaviculatum</i> ))	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus microphylla</i>	Littleleaf Boxwood	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Stem Canker ( <i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Clematis</i> sp./spp.	Clematis	Freeze; Frost; Cold Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Clematis</i> sp./spp.	Clematis	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ilex crenata</i>	Japanese Holly	Deer Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ilex crenata</i>	Japanese Holly	Dieback; Canker; Twig Blight ( <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ilex crenata</i>	Japanese Holly	Winter Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Malus</i> sp./spp.	Crabapple	Botryosphaeria Canker; Dieback ( <i>Botryosphaeria</i> ( <i>Diplodia</i> ) <i>stevensii</i> ( <i>mutila</i> ))	1	0	0	0
Mixed Plant material (unspecified)	Mixed Plant material	Excessive Light Intensity (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Mixed Plant material (unspecified)	Mixed Plant material	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
Mixed Plant material (unspecified)	Mixed Plant material	Phytophthora Crown: Root and/or Stem Rot ( <i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

<i>Pachysandra terminalis</i>	Japanese Spurge	Leaf and Stem Blight ( <i>Volutella pachysandrae</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	Moisture Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	Wind Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	American Sycamore	Sycamore Anthracnose ( <i>Apiognomonina (Gnomonia) (ana. Discula) veneta (platani)</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Platanus x acerifolia</i>	London Planetree	Excessive Water (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Platanus x acerifolia</i>	London Planetree	Salt Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Platanus x acerifolia</i>	London Planetree	Sycamore Anthracnose ( <i>Apiognomonina (Gnomonia) (ana. Discula) veneta (platani)</i> )	2	0	0	0
<i>Platanus x acerifolia</i>	London Planetree	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus prinus</i>	Chestnut Oak	Anthracnose ( <i>Apiognomonina (Discula) errabunda (quercina) (umbrinella)</i> )	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus prinus</i>	Chestnut Oak	Freeze; Frost; Cold Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus shumardii</i>	Shumard Oak [Texas; Spanish]	Drainage Problem (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

# Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

## Diagnostic Review Report

<i>Quercus shumardii</i>	Shumard Oak [Texas; Spanish]	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus shumardii</i>	Shumard Oak [Texas; Spanish]	Phytophthora Crown: Root and/or Stem Rot ( <i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Solidago canadensis scabra</i>	Tall Goldenrod	Leaf Rust; rust ( <i>Puccinia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Cytospora Canker; Dieback ( <i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Needle Dieback ( <i>Phyllosticta</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Vaccinium</i> sp./spp.	Blueberry	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Vaccinium</i> sp./spp.	Blueberry	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Vaccinium</i> sp./spp.	Blueberry	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Vaccinium</i> sp./spp.	Blueberry	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.