

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for June 25th through July 1st, 2013

<i>Asarum europacum</i>	European Ginger	Sclerotinia Stem Rot (<i>Sclerotinia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies</i> sp./spp.	Fir	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies</i> sp./spp.	Fir	Nutritional Pathology (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies</i> sp./spp.	Fir	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Canker (<i>Embellisia allii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Fusarium Basal Rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and Bulb Nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight (<i>Calonectria</i> (ana. <i>Cylindrocladium</i>) <i>pseudonaviculata</i> (<i>pseudonaviculatum</i>))	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Fusarium Leaf Spot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Volutella Leaf Blight; Dieback (<i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Geranium</i> sp./spp.	Cranesbill (geranium; true)	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Geranium sp./spp.</i>	Cranesbill (geranium; true)	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lactuca sativa</i>	Lettuce	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Paeonia lactiflora</i>	Peony	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Paeonia lactiflora</i>	Peony	Nutritional Pathology (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Prunus sp./spp.</i>	Cherry	Bacterial Blight (<i>Xanthomonas sp./spp.</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Prunus sp./spp.</i>	Cherry	Pseudomonas Canker (<i>Pseudomonas sp./spp.</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Prunus sp./spp.</i>	Cherry	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Prunus sp./spp.</i>	Cherry	Unspecified Pathology (<i>Phomopsis sp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus sp./spp.</i>	Cherry	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus sp./spp.</i>	Cherry	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Quercus sp./spp.</i> (red)	Red Oaks	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus sp./spp.</i> (red)	Red Oaks	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rosa multiflora</i>	Multiflora Rose	Rose Rosette Disease (Rose rosette-associated virus (RRaV))	1	0	0	0
<i>Rosa multiflora</i>	Multiflora Rose	Rose Rosette Disease Mite (<i>Phyllocoptes cribratus</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Late Blight (<i>Phytophthora infestans</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Leaf Blight; Leaf Spot (<i>Botrytis sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Taxus sp./spp.</i>	Yew	Verticillium Wilt (<i>Verticillium sp./spp.</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Taxus sp./spp.</i>	Yew	Wound Canker (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Leafspot Crown and Root Rot; (<i>Bipolaris sorokiniana</i>)	2	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Vaccinium</i> sp./spp.	Blueberry	Tomato Ringspot (Tomato Ringspot Virus (ToRSV))	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.