## **Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic**

**Diagnostic Review Report** 

			and a second	8				
	Host		Diagnosis		Confidence (to genus)			
•	Scientific Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive	

Time Period Report for July 2 <sup>nd</sup> through July 8 <sup>th</sup> , 2013							
Allium sativum	um sativum Garlic Fusarium Dry Rot; Bulb Rot (Fusarium sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0	
Allium sativum	Garlic	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0	
Allium sativum	Garlic	Southern Blight (Sclerotium rolfsii)	0	0	1	0	
Calibrachoa sp./spp.	Million Bells	Black Root Rot ( <i>Thielaviopsis (Chalara) basicola (elegans</i> ))	1	0	0	0	
	Pink						
Cornus florida	Flowering						
rubra	dogwood	Freeze; Frost; Cold Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0	
	Pink						
Cornus florida	Flowering						
rubra	dogwood	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0	
Fagus	American						
grandifolia	Beech	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	0	0	1	0	
Fagus	American						
grandifolia	Beech	Sooty Mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0	

- Confirmed The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.
- Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.
- Suspected Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.
- Inconclusive Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

## **Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic**

**Diagnostic Review Report** 

Scientific Name Common Name		Diagnosis		Confidence (to genus)		
		s section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; nce this section does not represent the total number of samples		Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
Fagus sylvatica	European Beech	Herbicide Injury; Exposure (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Fagus sylvatica	European Beech	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)		0	0	0
Fagus sylvatica atropunicea	Copper Beech	Ustulina Trunk Rot; Carbon Cushion (Kretzschmaria (Ustulina) deusta)		0	0	0
Glycine max	Soybean	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)		0	1	0
Glycine max	Soybean	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)		0	0	0
Lycopersicon sp./spp.	Tomato	Late Blight (Phytophthora infestans)	0	1	0	0
Lycopersicon sp./spp.	Tomato	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
Phaseolus vulgaris nanus	Bush Bean	Alternaria Leaf and Pod Spot ( <i>Alternaria alternata</i> )		0	1	0
Phaseolus vulgaris nanus	Bush Bean	Anthracnose; Colletotrichum Leaf Spot (Colletotrichum sp./spp.)		0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

## **Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic**

**Diagnostic Review Report** 

U		Diagnosis		Confidence (to genus)				
This section i			tatuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; the total number of samples		Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive	
Phaseolus								
vulgaris nanus	Bush Bean	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0	
Phaseolus vulgaris var.	Dry Bean	Alternaria Leaf and Pod Spot ( <i>Alternaria alternata</i> )		0	0	1	0	
Phaseolus vulgaris var.	Dry Bean	Moisture Stress (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0	
Picea sp./spp.	Spruce	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)		1	0	0	0	
Picea sp./spp.	Spruce	Cytospora Canker; Dieback ( <i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)		0	0	1	0	
Picea sp./spp.	Spruce	White Pine Sitka Spruce Weevil (Pissodes strobi)		0	0	1	0	
Ulmus americana	American Elm	Dutch Elm Disease ( <i>Ophiostoma</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0	
Vaccinium sp./spp.	Blueberry	Transplant Shock; Stress (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0	

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.