

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for August 20th through August 26th, 2013

<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cornus kousa</i>	Japanese Dogwood	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cornus kousa</i>	Japanese Dogwood	Spider Mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cornus</i> sp./spp.	Dogwood	Moisture Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Cornus</i> sp./spp.	Dogwood	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Cornus</i> sp./spp.	Dogwood	Transplant Shock; Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Fagus sylvatica atropunicea</i>	Copper Beech	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fagus sylvatica atropunicea</i>	Copper Beech	No Specified Pathology (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fagus sylvatica atropunicea</i>	Copper Beech	Unspecified Pathology (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

<i>Glycine max</i> 'edamame'	Edamame soybean	Low Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Glycine max</i> 'edamame'	Edamame soybean	Soybean Frogeye Leaf Spot (<i>Cercospora sojina</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Helianthus</i> sp./spp.	Sunflower	Bacterial Leaf Spot (<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Helianthus</i> sp./spp.	Sunflower	Fusarium Wilt (<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Juglans nigra</i>	Black Walnut	Fusarium Canker (<i>Haematonectria</i> (ana. <i>Fusarium</i>) <i>haematococca</i> (<i>solani</i>))	1	0	0	0
<i>Juglans nigra</i>	Black Walnut	Thousand Cankers Disease (<i>Geosmithia morbida</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Rust (<i>Gymnosporangium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lactuca sativa</i>	Lettuce	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lactuca sativa</i>	Lettuce	Mechanical Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lactuca sativa</i>	Lettuce	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp.	Tomato	Buckeye Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp.	Tomato	Tobacco Mosaic (Tobacco Mosaic Virus (TMV))	0	1	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp.	Tomato	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0		0
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Sweet basil	Downy Mildew (<i>Peronospora belbahrii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Paeonia suffruticosa</i>	Tree Peony	Anthracnose Stem Blight (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Paeonia suffruticosa</i>	Tree Peony	Leaf Blotch; Leaf Spot (<i>Cladosporium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus</i> sp./spp.	Pine	Eriophyid Mites (Family Eriophyidae)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus</i> sp./spp.	Pine	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus</i> sp./spp.	Pine	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	White Pine Blister Rust (<i>Cronartium ribicola</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus falcata</i>	Red Oak	Armillaria Root Rot (<i>Armillaria (Armillariella) sp./spp.</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus falcata</i>	Red Oak	Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora sp./spp.</i>)	1	1	0	0
<i>Quercus falcata</i>	Red Oak	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Quercus falcata</i>	Red Oak	Wood Decay Fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus sp./spp.</i>	Oak	Leaf Spot (<i>Tubakia dryina</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus sp./spp.</i>	Oak	Oak Twig Canker and Dieback (<i>Botryosphaeria (ana. Dothiorella) quercuum (quercina)</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus sp./spp.</i>	Oak	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Brown Spot (<i>Alternaria alternata (tenius)</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Potato Flea Beetle (<i>Epitrix cucumeris</i>)	0	0	3	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Potato Leafhopper (<i>Empoasca fabae</i>)	0	0	3	0
<i>Tsuga canadensis</i>	Eastern Hemlock	Scale Insects (Order homoptera)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Tsuga canadensis</i>	Eastern Hemlock	Sooty Mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tsuga canadensis</i>	Eastern Hemlock	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Tsuga diversifolia</i>	Northern Japanese Hemlock	Scale Insects (Order homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tsuga diversifolia</i>	Northern Japanese Hemlock	Sooty Mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tsuga diversifolia</i>	Northern Japanese Hemlock	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Tsuga seiboldii</i>	Southern Japanese Hemlock	Scale Insects (Order homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tsuga seiboldii</i>	Southern Japanese Hemlock	Sooty Mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tsuga seiboldii</i>	Southern Japanese Hemlock	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Vaccinium</i> sp./spp.	Blueberry	Phytophthora Crown: Root and/or Stem Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Vaccinium</i> sp./spp.	Blueberry	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.