

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

**Time Period Report for August 27<sup>th</sup> through September 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2013**

<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Corm and Bulb Rot ( <i>Penicillium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Fusarium Dry Rot; Bulb Rot ( <i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and Bulb Nematode ( <i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Gleditsia triacanthos</i>	Common Honeylocust	Cercospora Leaf Spot ( <i>Cercospora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Helianthus</i> sp./spp.	Sunflower	Downy Mildew ( <i>Plasmopara halstedii</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Hemerocallis</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Daylily	Bacterial Soft Rot (Unidentified Bacterium)	0	0	1	0
<i>Hemerocallis</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Daylily	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Hosta</i> sp./spp.	Hosta	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Hydrangea macrophylla</i>	Bigleaf Hydrangea	Anthracoze; Colletotrichum Leaf Spot ( <i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Hydrangea macrophylla</i>	Bigleaf Hydrangea	Fungal Leaf Spot ( <i>Cercospora hydrangeae</i> )	0	1	0	0
<i>Hydrangea macrophylla</i>	Bigleaf Hydrangea	Phoma Leaf Spot ( <i>Phoma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp.	Tomato	Cucumber Mosaic (Cucumber Mosaic Virus (CMV))	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp.	Tomato	Late Blight ( <i>Phytophthora infestans</i> )	1	2	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp.	Tomato	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp.	Tomato	Tomato Spotted Wilt (Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus (TSWV))	0	1	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp.	Tomato	Septoria Leaf Spot ( <i>Septoria lycopersici</i> )	5	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp.	Tomato	Fusarium Wilt ( <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp.	Tomato	Chemical Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis  This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp.	Tomato	Early Blight; Leaf Spot ( <i>Alternaria solani</i> )	4	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp.	Tomato	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp.	Tomato	Pith Necrosis ( <i>Pseudomonas corrugata</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Prunus</i> sp./spp.	Cherry	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus</i> sp./spp.	Cherry	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp.	Oak	Oak Wilt ( <i>Ceratocystis (Chalara) fagacearum (quercina)</i> )	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.