

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for September 17th through September 23rd, 2013

<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Cytospora Canker; Dieback (<i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Pine Weevils (Family Curculionidae)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Wound Canker (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer rubrum</i>	Red Maple	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer rubrum</i>	Red Maple	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Betula papyrifera</i>	Paper Birch	Anthraco nose (<i>Discula betulina</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight (<i>Calonectria</i> (ana. <i>Cylindrocladium</i>) <i>pseudonaviculata</i> (<i>pseudonaviculatum</i>))	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Volutella Blight; Canker (<i>Volutella buxi</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Macrophoma Blight; Dieback (<i>Macrophoma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cercis canadensis</i>	Eastern Redbud	Bark Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cercis canadensis</i>	Eastern Redbud	Excessive Mulch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cercis canadensis</i>	Eastern Redbud	Verticillium Wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Crataegus</i> sp./spp.)	Hawthorn	Entomosporium Leaf Spot (<i>Entomosporium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Kalmia latifolia</i>	Mountain Laurel	Leaf Spot (<i>Pseudocercospora kalmiae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Kalmia latifolia</i>	Mountain Laurel	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Paeonia</i> sp./spp.	Peony	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Paeonia</i> sp./spp.	Peony	Peony Leaf Blotch (<i>Cladosporium paeoniae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Moisture Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	1	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Wood Boring Insect Damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Eriophyid Mites (Family Eriophyidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Platanus</i> sp./spp.	Planetree (sycamore)	Bacterial Leaf Scorch (BLS) (<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i> (BLS))	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus cerasus</i>	Sour Cherry	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

<i>Prunus cerasus</i>	Sour Cherry	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pyrus communis</i>	Pear	Canker; Stem Blight; Dieback (<i>Botryosphaeria dothidea</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pyrus communis</i>	Pear	Entomosporium Leaf Spot (<i>Entomosporium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pyrus communis</i>	Pear	Wound Canker (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus falcata</i>	Red Oak	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus falcata</i>	Red Oak	Armillaria Root Rot (<i>Armillaria (Armillariella)</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	Overcup Oak	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	Overcup Oak	Spider Mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	Overcup Oak	Transplant Shock; Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ulmus</i> sp./spp.	Elm	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>X cupressocyparis leylandii</i>	Leyland Cypress	Pestalotiopsis Needle Blight; Tip Blight (<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>X cupressocyparis leylandii</i>	Leyland Cypress	Tip Blight (<i>Diplodia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>X cupressocyparis leylandii</i>	Leyland Cypress	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.