

| Host            |             | Diagnosis   | Confidence (to genus) |              |           |              |
|-----------------|-------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name |   | Confirmed             | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
|                 |             | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples |                       |              |           |              |

**Time Period Report for October 6<sup>th</sup> through October 12<sup>th</sup>, 2015**

|                                       |               |   |   |   |   |   |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| <i>Acer</i> sp./spp.                  | Maple         | Bacterial Leaf Scorch ( <i>Xylella fastidiosa</i> )                 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Acer</i> sp./spp.                  | Maple         | Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Acer</i> sp./spp.                  | Maple         | Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)                                      | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| <i>Acer</i> sp./spp.                  | Maple         | Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)                         | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Allium sativum</i>                 | Garlic        | Eriophyid Mites (Family Eriophyidae)                                | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Allium sativum</i>                 | Garlic        | Stem and Bulb Nematode ( <i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i> )               | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Allium sativum</i>                 | Garlic        | Skin Blotch ( <i>Alternaria (Embellisia) embellisia (alli)</i> )    | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Carpinus</i> sp./spp.              | Hornbeam      | Anthracnose ( <i>Monostichella robergei</i> )                       | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids | Chrysanthemum | Chrysanthemum White Rust ( <i>Puccinia horiana</i> )                | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cornus</i> sp./spp.                | Dogwood       | Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)                                 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cornus</i> sp./spp.                | Dogwood       | Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cornus</i> sp./spp.                | Dogwood       | Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)                                      | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Glycine max</i>                    | Soybean       | Soybean Stem Canker ( <i>Diaporthe phaseolorum</i> )                | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Hibiscus</i> sp./spp.              | Hibiscus      | Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

| Host                         |                   | Diagnosis   | Confidence (to genus) |              |           |              |
|------------------------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name              | Common Name       |   | Confirmed             | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| Hibiscus sp./spp.            | Hibiscus          | Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)                                      | 0                     | 0            | 1         | 0            |
| Hibiscus sp./spp.            | Hibiscus          | Spider Mites (Family Tetranychidae)                                 | 1                     | 0            | 0         | 0            |
| <i>Picea abies</i>           | Norway Spruce     | Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.) | 1                     | 0            | 0         | 0            |
| <i>Picea abies</i>           | Norway Spruce     | Planting Too Deep (Abiotic disorder)                                | 0                     | 0            | 1         | 0            |
| <i>Picea abies</i>           | Norway Spruce     | Spruce Bud Scale ( <i>Physokermes</i> sp./spp.)                     | 1                     | 0            | 0         | 0            |
| <i>Picea abies</i>           | Norway Spruce     | Witches Broom (unidentified)  | 0                     | 0            | 1         | 0            |
| <i>Platanus occidentalis</i> | American Sycamore | Bacterial Leaf Scorch ( <i>Xylella fastidiosa</i> )                 | 1                     | 0            | 0         | 0            |
| <i>Quercus alba</i>          | White Oak         | Armillaria Root Rot ( <i>Armillaria</i> sp./spp.)                   | 0                     | 0            | 1         | 0            |
| <i>Quercus palustris</i>     | Pin Oak           | Bacterial Leaf Scorch ( <i>Xylella fastidiosa</i> )                 | 1                     | 0            | 0         | 0            |
| <i>Quercus rubra</i>         | Northern Red oak  | Bacterial Leaf Scorch ( <i>Xylella fastidiosa</i> )                 | 0                     | 1            | 0         | 0            |
| <i>Quercus rubra</i>         | Northern Red oak  | Kermes Scale ( <i>Kermes</i> sp./spp.)                              | 0                     | 0            | 1         | 0            |
| <i>Quercus rubra</i>         | Northern Red oak  | Oak Leaf Blister ( <i>Taphrina caerulescens</i> )                   | 1                     | 0            | 0         | 0            |
| <i>Quercus</i> sp./spp. red  | Red Oaks          | Bacterial Leaf Scorch ( <i>Xylella fastidiosa</i> )                 | 1                     | 1            | 0         | 0            |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

| Host                           |                         | Diagnosis   | Confidence (to genus) |              |           |              |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name                | Common Name             |   | Confirmed             | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
|                                |                         | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples |                       |              |           |              |
| <i>Quercus</i> sp./spp.<br>red | Red Oaks                | Insect Gall (Insect Gall)   | 1                     | 0            | 0         | 0            |
| <i>Quercus</i> sp./spp.<br>red | Red Oaks                | Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)   | 0                     | 0            | 1         | 0            |
| <i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp.   | Azalea;<br>Rhododendron | Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)  | 0                     | 0            | 1         | 0            |
| <i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp.   | Azalea;<br>Rhododendron | Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)   | 0                     | 0            | 1         | 0            |
| <i>Skimmia</i> sp./spp.        | Skimmia                 | Planting Too Deep (Abiotic disorder)  | 0                     | 0            | 1         | 0            |
| Turfgrass mixed species        | Turfgrass               | Nimblewill ( <i>Muhlenbergia schreberi</i> )  | 1                     | 0            | 0         | 0            |
| <i>Ulmus</i> sp./spp.          | Elm                     | Dutch Elm Disease ( <i>Ophiostoma</i> sp./spp.)   | 0                     | 1            | 0         | 0            |
| <i>Ulmus</i> sp./spp.          | Elm                     | Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)   | 1                     | 0            | 0         | 0            |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.