

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for October 20th through October 26th , 2015

<i>Amorphophallus titanum</i>	Titan Arum	Referred to Specialist (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ampelopsis brevipedunculata</i>	Porcelainberry	Porcelainberry (<i>Ampelopsis brevipedunculata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Broussonetia papyrifera</i>	Paper Mulberry	Paper mulberry (<i>Broussonetia papyrifera</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus sp./ spp.</i>	Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	0	2	0	0
<i>Buxus sp./ spp.</i>	Boxwood	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Camellia japonica</i>	Common Camellia	Moisture Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Camellia japonica</i>	Common Camellia	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Celastrus sp./spp.</i>	Bittersweet (staff tree)	Oriental Bittersweet (<i>Celastrus orbiculatus</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Celtis occidentalis</i>	Hackberry (nettle tree)	Phytoplasma Disease (<i>Phytoplasma sp./spp.</i> unknown)	0	0	1	0
<i>Celtis occidentalis</i>	Hackberry (nettle tree)	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Chrysanthemum White Rust (<i>Puccinia horiana</i>)	3	0	0	0
<i>Euphorbia pulcherrima</i>	Poinsettia	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Euphorbia pulcherrima</i>	Poinsettia	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ilex x meserveae</i>	Blue Holly	Black Root Rot (<i>Thielaviopsis basicola</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ilex x meserveae</i>	Blue Holly	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Moisture Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue Spruce	Spruce Needle Cast (<i>Lirula macrospora</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus thunbergiana</i>	Japanese Black pine	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Oak Wilt (<i>Ceratocystis fagacearum</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Wood Decay Fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Ulmus alata</i>	Winged Elm	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Vitis</i> sp./spp.	Grape	Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	1	6	0	0
<i>Vitis</i> sp./spp.	Grape	Lesion Nematodes (<i>Pratylenchus</i> sp./spp.)	5	0	0	0
<i>Vitis</i> sp./spp.	Grape	Pin Nematodes (<i>Paratylenchus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Vitis</i> sp./spp.	Grape	Ring Nematodes (<i>Criconemella</i> sp./spp.)	5	0	0	0
<i>Vitis</i> sp./spp.	Grape	Stubby-root Nematodes (Family Trichodoridae)	3	0	0	0
<i>Vitis</i> sp./spp.	Grape	Stunt Nematodes (<i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> sp./spp.)	3	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.