

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for May 5th through May 11th, 2015

<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Wood Decay Fungus (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus sinica</i>	Korean Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus sinica</i>	Korean Boxwood	Boxwood Macrophoma Leaf Spot (<i>Dothiorella (Macrophoma) sempervirens (candollei)</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus sinica</i>	Korean Boxwood	Boxwood Volutella Blight; Canker (<i>Volutella buxi</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus sinica</i>	Korean Boxwood	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Leafminer (<i>Monarthropalpus flavus (buxi)</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Macrophoma Leaf Spot (<i>Dothiorella (Macrophoma) sempervirens (candollei)</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Mite (<i>Eurytetranychus buxi</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Psyllid (<i>Psylla buxi</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Winter Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Hosta sieboldiana</i>	Hosta	Hosta Virus X (Hosta Virus X (HVX))	1	0	0	0
<i>Hosta</i> sp./spp.	Hosta	Hosta Virus X (Hosta Virus X (HVX))	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

<i>Hosta</i> sp./spp.	Hosta	Mechanical Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ilex crenata</i>	Japanese Holly	Black Root Rot (<i>Thielaviopsis (Chalara) basicola (elegans)</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lactuca sativa</i>	Lettuce	Cucumber Mosaic (Cucumber Mosaic Virus (CMV))	0	1	0	0
<i>Lactuca sativa</i>	Lettuce	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ligustrum</i> sp./spp.	Ligustrum; Privet	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ligustrum</i> sp./spp.	Ligustrum; Privet	Sooty Mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ligustrum</i> sp./spp.	Ligustrum; Privet	Spider Mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	Eastern Spruce Gall Adelgid (<i>Adelges abietis</i>)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce	Planting Too Deep (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus acutissima</i>	Sawtooth Oak	Natural Senescence (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus acutissima</i>	Sawtooth Oak	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus acutissima</i>	Sawtooth Oak	Spider Mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tsuga canadensis</i>	Eastern Hemlock	Cytospora Canker; Dieback (<i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Tsuga canadensis</i>	Eastern Hemlock	Wood Boring Insect Damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.