

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

**Time Period Report for April 28<sup>th</sup> through May 4<sup>th</sup>, 2015**

<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Scale Insects (Order homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Winter Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Cytospora Canker; Dieback ( <i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Sphaeropsis Canker ( <i>Sphaeropsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Unspecified Pathology ( <i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus microphylla</i>	Littleleaf Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight ( <i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus microphylla</i>	Littleleaf Boxwood	Volutella Leaf Blight; Dieback ( <i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cercis canadensis</i>	Eastern Redbud	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cornus</i> sp./spp.	Dogwood	Lichens (Lichenes)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cornus</i> sp./spp.	Dogwood	Moisture Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Cornus sp./spp.</i>	Dogwood	Unidentified Insect (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Cornus sp./spp.</i>	Dogwood	Winter Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Forest hardwoods	Forest Hardwoods	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	5	0	0	0
Forest hardwoods	Forest Hardwoods	Ants (Family Formicidae)	1	0	0	0
Forest hardwoods	Forest Hardwoods	Mold; Mildew ( <i>Penicillium sp./spp.</i> )	1	0	0	0
Forest hardwoods	Forest Hardwoods	Plant Identification (Identification Analysis)	0	1	0	0
Forest hardwoods	Forest Hardwoods	Sugar Maple ( <i>Acer saccharum</i> )	0	0	4	0
Forest hardwoods	Forest Hardwoods	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Pyrenochaeta sp./spp.</i> )	1	0	0	0
Forest hardwoods	Forest Hardwoods	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Camarosporium sp.</i> )	1	0	0	0
Forest hardwoods	Forest Hardwoods	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Rhizoctonia sp./spp.</i> )	2	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

Forest hardwoods	Forest Hardwoods	Ustulina Trunk Rot; Carbon Cushion ( <i>Kretzschmaria deusta</i> )	2	3	0	0
Forest hardwoods	Forest Hardwoods	Wood Boring Insect Damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect)	2	0	0	0
<i>Ilex opaca</i>	American Holly	Deer Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ilex opaca</i>	American Holly	Unspecified Pathology ( <i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ilex opaca</i>	American Holly	Winter Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>	Sweetpotato	Intumescence (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>	Sweetpotato	Sooty Mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Cedar-Quince Rust ( <i>Gymnosporangium clavipes</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Juniper Scale ( <i>Carulaspis juniperi</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Sphaeropsis Dieback ( <i>Sphaeropsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp.	Tomato	Chemical Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp.	Tomato	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

<i>Pelargonium</i> sp./spp.	Geranium ('cultivated')	Growth Regulator Effect (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pelargonium</i> sp./spp.	Geranium ('cultivated')	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Eastern Spruce Gall Adelgid ( <i>Adelges abietis</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Pestalotiopsis Needle Blight; Tip Blight ( <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Spruce Spider Mite ( <i>Oligonychus ununguis</i> )	1	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Stigmata Needle Blight ( <i>Stigmata lautii</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Unspecified Pathology ( <i>Rhizosphaera</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus nigra</i>	Austrian Pine	Red Band Needle Blight ( <i>Dothistroma septosporum</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Cytospora Canker; Dieback ( <i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	1	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Insufficient Light (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Weir's Cushion Rust ( <i>Chrysomyxa weirii</i> )	0	1	0	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>	Cherry-laurel	Black Root Rot ( <i>Thielaviopsis (Chalara) basicola (elegans)</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>	Cherry-laurel	Crown and Root Rot ( <i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>	Cherry-laurel	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>	Cherry-laurel	Transplant Shock; Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ravenea rivularis</i> ; <i>Nephrolepis exaltata bostoniensis</i>	Majesty Palm; Boston Fern	Amber Snails (Family Succineidae; Pulmonata)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ravenea rivularis</i> ; <i>Nephrolepis exaltata bostoniensis</i>	Majesty Palm; Boston Fern	Southern Flatcoil Snail ( <i>Polygyra cereolus</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Thlaspi arvense</i>	Field Pennycress	Crown and Stem Rot ( <i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thlaspi arvense</i>	Field Pennycress	Japanese Beetle ( <i>Popillia japonica</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Thlaspi arvense</i>	Field Pennycress	Unspecified pathology ( <i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.