

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for May 26th through June 1st, 2015

<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Freeze; Frost; Cold Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and Bulb Nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	0	2	0	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Freeze; Frost; Cold Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Volutella Leaf Blight; Dieback (<i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Winter Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	Calibrachoa Mottle (Calibrachoa Mottle Virus (CBMV))	0	1	0	0
<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus Group)	0	1	0	0
<i>Calibrachoa</i> sp./spp.	Million Bells	Unspecified Pathology (<i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Euonymus atropurpurea</i>	Burning Bush	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Euonymus atropurpurea</i>	Burning Bush	Unspecified Pathology (<i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Eustoma grandiflorum</i>	Lisianthus	Stalk Rot; Seedling Root Rot (<i>Fusarium avenaceum</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Eustoma grandiflorum</i>	Lisianthus	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	Freeze; Frost; Cold Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	Tomato	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Medicago sativa</i>	Alfalfa	Alfalfa Brown Root Rot (<i>Leptosphaeria sclerotioides</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Picea omorika</i>	Serbian Spruce	Weir's Cushion Rust (<i>Chrysomyxa weirii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Dutch Elm Disease (<i>Ophiostoma</i> sp./spp.)	4	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.