

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for June 16th through June 22nd, 2015

<i>Abies</i> sp./spp.	Fir	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies</i> sp./spp.	Fir	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Verticillium Wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	1	1	0	0
<i>Amaranthus</i> sp./spp.	Amaranthus	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Amaranthus</i> sp./spp.	Amaranthus	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Amelanchier</i> sp./spp.	Serviceberry	Suspected for Aphids (Plant Lice) (Family Aphididae)	0	0	1	0
<i>Amelanchier</i> sp./spp.	Serviceberry	Confirmed for Sooty Mold (Unidentified Fungus)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	0	2	0	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Boxwood Macrophoma Leaf Spot (<i>Dothiorella (Macrophoma) sempervirens (candollei)</i>)	2	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Boxwood Volutella Blight; Canker (<i>Volutella buxi</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Fusarium Canker (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Macrophoma Leaf Spot (<i>Dothiorella (Macrophoma) sempervirens (candollei)</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Volutella Leaf Blight; Dieback (<i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Cucumber Mosaic (Cucumber Mosaic Virus (CMV))	0	1	0	0
<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus Group)	0	1	0	0
<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Tomato Spotted Wilt (Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus (TSWV))	0	1	0	0
<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Unidentified Virus (Unidentified Virus)	0	0	1	0
<i>Celtis occidentalis</i>	Hackberry (nettle tree)	Powdery Mildew (<i>Oidium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Celtis occidentalis</i>	Hackberry (nettle tree)	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cercis canadensis</i>	Eastern Redbud	Freeze; Frost; Cold Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cercis canadensis</i>	Eastern Redbud	Unspecified Pathology (<i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Hop Downy Mildew (<i>Pseudoperonospora humuli</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Liquidambar</i> sp./spp.	Sweetgum	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Liquidambar</i> sp./spp.	Sweetgum	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Magnolia</i> sp./spp.	Magnolia	Sour Mulch (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Magnolia</i> sp./spp.	Magnolia	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Paeonia</i> sp./spp.	Peony	Tobacco Rattle (Tobacco Rattle Virus (TRV))	1	0	0	0
<i>Paeonia</i> sp./spp.	Peony	Tomato Spotted Wilt (Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus (TSWV))	0	1	0	0
<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway Spruce	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Cytospora Canker; Dieback (<i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Stigmina Needle Blight (<i>Stigmina lautii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pyrus calleryana</i>	Callery Pear	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pyrus calleryana</i>	Callery Pear	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus prinus</i>	Chestnut Oak	Oak Wilt (<i>Ceratocystis fagacearum</i>)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

<i>Quercus prinus</i>	Chestnut Oak	Unspecified Pathology (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus prinus</i>	Chestnut Oak	Verticillium Wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Rosa</i> sp./spp.	Rose	Suspected for Herbicide Injury; Exposure (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Bacterial Soft Rot (Unidentified Bacterium)	1	0	0	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Dutch Elm Disease (<i>Ophiostoma</i> sp./spp.)	3	0	0	0
<i>Zinnia elegans</i>	Zinnia	Cucumber Mosaic (Cucumber Mosaic Virus (CMV))	0	1	0	0
<i>Zinnia elegans</i>	Zinnia	Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus Group)	0	1	0	0
<i>Zinnia elegans</i>	Zinnia	Tomato Spotted Wilt (Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus (TSWV))	0	1	0	0
<i>Zinnia elegans</i>	Zinnia	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.