

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

**Time Period Report for July 14<sup>th</sup> through July 20<sup>th</sup>, 2015**

<i>Acer</i> sp./spp.	Maple	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer</i> sp./spp.	Maple	Verticillium Wilt ( <i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus microphylla</i>	Littleleaf Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight ( <i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i> )	0	3	0	0
<i>Buxus microphylla</i>	Littleleaf Boxwood	Boxwood Leafminer ( <i>Monarthropalpus flavus (buxi)</i> )	0	0	2	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	Common Boxwood	Freeze; Frost; Cold Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i> 'suffruticosa'	Edging Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight ( <i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight ( <i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i> )	1	2	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Wound Canker (Abiotic disorder)	1	0	0	0
<i>Camellia</i> sp./spp.	Camellia	Cytospora Canker; Dieback ( <i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Camellia</i> sp./spp.	Camellia	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Camellia</i> sp./spp.	Camellia	Twig Blight ( <i>Fusicoccum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Camellia</i> sp./spp.	Camellia	Unspecified Pathology ( <i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Bacterial Blight ( <i>Pseudomonas savastanoi</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Glycine max</i>	Soybean	Soybean Brown Spot ( <i>Septoria glycines</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Alternaria Leaf Blight ( <i>Alternaria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Botrytis Blight ( <i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Hop Downy Mildew ( <i>Pseudoperonospora humuli</i> )	0	1	0	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Leafhoppers (Family Cicadellidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ilex aquifolium</i> x <i>cornuta</i> nellie r. stevens	Nellie R. stevens holly	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ilex aquifolium</i> x <i>cornuta</i>	Nellie R. Stevens holly	Unspecified Pathology ( <i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Impatiens</i> sp./spp.	Impatiens	Downy Mildew ( <i>Plasmopara obducens</i> )	0	1	0	0
<i>Impatiens</i> sp./spp.	Impatiens	Slime Mold (Class Myxogastria; Mycetozoa)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Juniperus horizontalis plumosa</i>	Andora Juniper	Lophodermium Needlecast ( <i>Lophodermium juniperinum</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Ligustrum</i> sp./spp.	Privet	Alternaria Leaf Spot ( <i>Alternaria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea omorika</i>	Serbian Spruce	Aphids (Plant Lice) (Family Aphididae)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea omorika</i>	Serbian Spruce	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea omorika</i>	Serbian Spruce	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Oak Leaf Blister ( <i>Taphrina caerulescens</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Oak Twig Canker and Dieback ( <i>Botryosphaeria quercuum</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Scale Insects (Order homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Saintpaulia</i> sp./spp.	African Violet	Cultural/Environmental Problem (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Saintpaulia</i> sp./spp.	African Violet	Impatiens Necrotic Spot (Impatiens Necrotic Spot Virus (INSV))	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Saintpaulia</i> sp./spp.	African Violet	Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus Group)	0	1	0	0
<i>Saintpaulia</i> sp./spp.	African Violet	Root-knot Nematodes ( <i>Meloidogyne</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Saintpaulia</i> sp./spp.	African Violet	Tobacco Mosaic (Tobacco Mosaic Virus (TMV))	0	1	0	0
<i>Saintpaulia</i> sp./spp.	African Violet	Tomato Spotted Wilt (Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus (TSWV))	0	1	0	0
<i>Taxodium distichum distichum</i>	Baldcypress (ornamental)	Baldcypress Rust Mite ( <i>Eptrimerus taxodii</i> )	0	0	1	0
<i>Taxodium distichum distichum</i>	Baldcypress (ornamental)	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Root Rot (Unidentified Agent)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Dutch Elm Disease ( <i>Ophiostoma</i> sp./spp.)	1	1	0	0
<i>Ulmus parvifolia</i>	Chinese Elm	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus parvifolia</i>	Chinese Elm	Black Spot ( <i>Stegophora (Asteroma) ulmea (ulmeum)</i> )	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus parvifolia</i>	Chinese Elm	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Vaccinium</i> sp./spp.	Blueberry	Dagger Nematodes ( <i>Xiphinema</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Vaccinium</i> sp./spp.	Blueberry	Tomato Ringspot (Tomato Ringspot Virus (ToRSV))	0	1	0	0
<i>Vaccinium</i> sp./spp.	Blueberry	Unidentified Virus (Unidentified Virus)	0	0	1	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Bulb and Stem Nematodes Genus ( <i>Tylenchus</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Fungivorous Nematodes ( <i>Aphelenchus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Lesion Nematodes ( <i>Pratylenchus</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Pin Nematodes ( <i>Paratylenchus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Corn	Stunt Nematodes ( <i>Tylenchorhynchus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Sweet Corn	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Zea mays</i>	Sweet Corn	Unspecified Pathology ( <i>Pythium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.