

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for July 21st through July 27th, 2015

<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Needle Dieback (<i>Phyllosticta</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Winter Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Allium cepa</i>	Onion	Stemphylium Leaf Blight (<i>Stemphylium vesicarium</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium cepa</i>	Onion	Thrips Damage (Unidentified Thrips)	1	0	0	0
<i>Beta vulgaris</i> var. <i>cicla</i>	Swiss Chard	Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus Group)	0	1	0	0
<i>Beta vulgaris</i> var. <i>cicla</i>	Swiss Chard	Unidentified Virus (Unidentified Virus)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	1		0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Macrophoma Leaf Spot (<i>Dothiorella (Macrophoma) sempervirens (candollei)</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Volutella Blight; Canker (<i>Volutella buxi</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Fusarium Canker (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Root Rot (Unidentified Agent)	0	0	2	0
<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp.	Pepper	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Chamaecyparis obtusa</i>	Hinoki Falsecypress	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Chamaecyparis obtusa</i>	Hinoki Falsecypress	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Juniperus chinensis aurea</i>	Golden Pfitzer juniper	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus chinensis aurea</i>	Golden Pfitzer juniper	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp.	Tomato	Late Blight (<i>Phytophthora infestans</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp.	Tomato	Leaf Blight; Leaf Spot (<i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus sylvestris</i>	Common Apple	Fire Blight (<i>Erwinia amylovora</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Morus</i> sp./spp.	Mulberry	Leaf Spot (<i>Mycosphaerella (Phloeospora) mori (maculans)</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens glauca</i>	Kosters Blue spruce	Beetles (Order <i>coleoptera</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

<i>Picea pungens glauca</i>	Kosters Blue spruce	Cytospora Canker; Dieback (<i>Cytospora</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea pungens glauca</i>	Kosters Blue spruce	Dieback; Canker (<i>Diplodia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea pungens glauca</i>	Kosters Blue spruce	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Picea</i> sp./spp.	Spruce	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>	Cherry-laurel	Crown Rot; Root Rot; Stem Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>	Cherry-laurel	Phomopsis Blight (<i>Phomopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>	Cherry-laurel	Wood Boring Insect Damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus palustris</i>	Pin Oak	Pine Oak Gall Rust (<i>Cronartium quercuum</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red oak	Armillaria Root Rot (<i>Armillaria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus shumardii</i>	Shumard Oak	Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Quercus shumardii</i>	Shumard Oak	Pine Oak Gall Rust (<i>Cronartium quercuum</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus shumardii</i>	Shumard Oak	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp. red	Red Oaks	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	2	0	0	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp. red	Red Oaks	Discula Anthracnose (<i>Discula</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp. red	Red Oaks	Oak Wilt (<i>Ceratocystis fagacearum</i>)	0	2	0	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp. red	Red Oaks	Pine Oak Gall Rust (<i>Cronartium quercuum</i>)	0	0	2	0
<i>Taxus</i> sp./spp.	Yew	Phomopsis Twig Blight (<i>Diaporthe</i> (ana. <i>Phomopsis</i>) <i>occulta</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Taxus</i> sp./spp.	Yew	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Tsuga</i> sp./spp.	Hemlock	Botrytis Blight (<i>Botrytis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Brown Patch (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Dollar Spot (<i>Sclerotinia homeocarpa</i>)	0	1	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Drainage Problem (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ulmus</i> sp./spp.	Elm	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus</i> sp./spp.	Elm	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Dutch Elm Disease (<i>Ophiostoma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Vitis</i> sp./spp.	Grape	Phomopsis Cane; Leaf Spot; Excoriosis (<i>Diaporthe ampelina</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.