

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for June 30th through July 6th, 2015

<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White Fir	Scale Insects (Order homoptera)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer palmatum</i>	Japanese Maple	Anthracnose; Colletotrichum Leaf Spot (<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus microphylla</i>	Littleleaf Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus microphylla</i>	Littleleaf Boxwood	Crown Rot; Root Rot; Stem Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus microphylla</i>	Littleleaf Boxwood	Planting Too Deep (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Cedrus libani atlantica</i>	Atlas Cedar	Tip Blight (<i>Kabatina</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Poor Growing Conditions (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Gaillardia</i> sp./spp.	Gaillardia	White Smut (<i>Entyloma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Hosta</i> sp./spp.	Hosta	Hosta Virus X (Hosta Virus X (HVX))	1	4	0	0
<i>Hosta</i> sp./spp.	Hosta	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	4	0
<i>Ilex x meserveae</i>	Blue Holly	Black Root Rot (<i>Thielaviopsis basicola</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ilex x meserveae</i>	Blue Holly	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Pinus nigra</i>	Austrian Pine	Red Band Needle Blight (<i>Dothistroma septosporum</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp.	Oak	Anthracnose (<i>Discula quercina</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus</i> sp./spp.	Oak	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rubus</i> sp./spp.	Raspberry	Poor Pruning Practice (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Rubus</i> sp./spp.	Raspberry	Unidentified Virus Unidentified Virus)	0	0	1	0
<i>Salix</i> sp./spp.	Willow	Dieback; Canker; Twig Blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Salix</i> sp./spp.	Willow	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Syringa</i> sp./spp.	Lilac	Ash Yellowings (<i>Candidatus Phytoplasma fraxini</i> 16SrVII-A)	0	1	0	0
<i>Syringa</i> sp./spp.	Lilac	Herbicide Injury; Exposure (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Arborvitae Needle Blight (<i>Phyllosticta thujae</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Spider Mites (Family Tetranychidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Dutch Elm Disease (<i>Ophiostoma sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.