

| Host | | Diagnosis | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| | | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples | | | | |

Time Period Report for July 28th through August 3rd, 2015

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| <i>Abies concolor</i> | White Fir | Fir-fern Rust (<i>Uredinopsis mirabilis</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Abies concolor</i> | White Fir | Growth Regulator Effect (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Abies concolor</i> | White Fir | Winter Injury (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Acer</i> sp./spp. | Maple | Branch Canker and Dieback (Unidentified Agent) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Acer</i> sp./spp. | Maple | Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Acer</i> sp./spp. | Maple | Root Damage (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Acer</i> sp./spp. | Maple | Verticillium Wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Agrostis</i> sp./spp. | Bentgrass | Brown Patch (<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp. | Pepper | Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Capsicum</i> sp./spp. | Pepper | Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Cedrus libani</i> | Cedar-of-lebanon | Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cedrus libani</i> | Cedar-of-lebanon | Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

| Host | | Diagnosis | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |

This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|--|---|---|---|---|
| <i>Cryptomeria</i> sp./spp. | Cryptomeria | Needle Dieback (<i>Phyllosticta</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cryptomeria</i> sp./spp. | Cryptomeria | Pestalotia Leaf Blight (<i>Pestalotia cryptomeriae</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cryptomeria</i> sp./spp. | Cryptomeria | Scale Insects (Order homoptera) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cucumis sativus</i> | Cucumber | No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cucumis sativus</i> | Cucumber | Root Damage (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp. | Squash | Cucumber Green Mottle Mosaic (Cucumber Green Mottle Mosaic Virus (CGMMV)) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp. | Squash | Cucumber Mosaic (Cucumber Mosaic Virus (CMV)) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp. | Squash | Gummy Stem Blight (<i>Didymella</i> (ana. <i>Phoma</i>) <i>bryonae</i> (<i>cucurbitacearum</i>)) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp. | Squash | Impatiens Necrotic Spot (Impatiens Necrotic Spot Virus (INSV)) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp. | Squash | Melon Necrotic Spot (Melon Necrotic Spot Carmovirus (MNSV)) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp. | Squash | Papaya Ringspot (Papaya Ringspot Virus (PRSV) (Watermelon Mosaic Virus 1)) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp. | Squash | Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus Group) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp. | Squash | Squash Mosaic (Squash Mosaic Virus (SQMV)) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

| Host | | Diagnosis | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| | | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples | | | | |
| <i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp. | Squash | Tobacco Mosaic (Tobacco Mosaic Virus (TMV)) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp. | Squash | Tobacco Ringspot (Tobacco Ringspot Virus (TRSV)) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp. | Squash | Tobacco Streak (Tobacco Streak Virus (TSV)) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp. | Squash | Tomato Mosaic (Tomato Mosaic Tobamovirus (ToMV)) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp. | Squash | Tomato Ringspot (Tomato Ringspot Virus (ToRSV)) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp. | Squash | Tomato Spotted Wilt (Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus (TSWV)) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cucurbita</i> sp./spp. | Squash | Zucchini Yellow Mosaic (Zucchini Yellow Mosaic Virus (ZYMV)) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cynara scolymus</i> | Globe Artichoke | Bacterial Soft Rot (Unidentified Bacterium) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cynara scolymus</i> | Globe Artichoke | Calcium Deficiency (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Fraxinus</i> sp./spp. | Ash | Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Fraxinus</i> sp./spp. | Ash | Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Illicium</i> sp./spp. | Anise Tree | Armillaria Root Rot (<i>Armillaria</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Illicium</i> sp./spp. | Anise Tree | Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

| Host | | Diagnosis | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| | | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples | | | | |
| <i>Lactuca sativa</i> | Lettuce | Aster Yellows Phytoplasma (<i>Candidatus Phytoplasma asteris</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Ligustrum japonicum</i> | Japanese Privet | Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Ligustrum japonicum</i> | Japanese Privet | White Root Rot (<i>Rosellinia</i> (ana. <i>Dematophora</i>) <i>necatrix</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Lycopersicon</i> sp./spp. | Tomato | White Mold (<i>Sclerotinia</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Magnolia</i> sp./spp. | Magnolia | Dieback; Canker; Twig Blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Magnolia</i> sp./spp. | Magnolia | Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Ocimum basilicum</i> | Sweet Basil | Downy Mildew (<i>Peronospora belbahrii</i>) | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Ocimum basilicum</i> | Sweet Basil | Low pH; Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Pinus strobus</i> | Eastern White pine | Canavirgella Needle Cast (<i>Lophophacidium dooksii</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp. | Rhododendron | Crown Rot; Root Rot; Stem Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

| Host | | Diagnosis | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| | | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples | | | | |
| <i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp. | Rhododendron | Root Damage (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Rubus idaeus</i> | Raspberry | Late Leaf Rust (<i>Pucciniastrum americanum</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Rubus idaeus</i> | Raspberry | Leaf Spot (<i>Sphaerulina rubi</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Rubus idaeus</i> | Raspberry | Nutritional Deficiency (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Rubus occidentalis</i> | Black Raspberry | Raspberry Mosaic (Raspberry Yellow-net Virus and BRNV) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Rubus occidentalis</i> | Black Raspberry | Septoria Leaf Spot (<i>Septoria</i> sp./spp.) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Rubus occidentalis</i> | Black Raspberry | Spot Anthracnose (<i>Elsinoe veneta</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Solanum melogena</i> | Eggplant | White Mold (<i>Sclerotinia</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Thuja</i> sp./spp. | Arborvitae | Chemical; Environmental Injury (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Thuja</i> sp./spp. | Arborvitae | Needle Dieback (<i>Phyllosticta</i> sp./spp.) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Trifolium repens</i> | White Clover | Black Patch (<i>Rhizoctonia leguminicola</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Zea mays</i> | Sweet Corn | Stewart's Wilt (<i>Pantoea (Erwinia) stewartii</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.