

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for September 15th through September 18th , 2015

<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Acer</i> sp./spp.	Maple	Leaf Spot (<i>Cristulariella</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer</i> sp./spp.	Maple	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Eriophyid Mites (Family Eriophyidae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Physiological Responses (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Bulb Mite (<i>Rhizoglyphus</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Insect Damage (Unidentified Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Skin Blotch (<i>Alternaria (Embellisia) embellisia (alli)</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Stem and Bulb Nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>)	0	11	0	0
<i>Amorphophallus titanum</i>	Titan Arum	Black Vine Weevil (<i>Otiorhynchus sulcatus</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Amorphophallus titanum</i>	Titan Arum	Burrowing Nematode (BN) (<i>Radopholus similis</i>)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Amorphophallus titanum</i>	Titan Arum	Foliar Nematodes (<i>Aphelenchoides</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Amorphophallus titanum</i>	Titan Arum	Phymatotrichum Root Rot (<i>Phymatotrichopsis (Phymatotrichum) omnivora (omnivorum)</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Amorphophallus titanum</i>	Titan Arum	Potato Rot Nematode (<i>Ditylenchus destructor</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Amorphophallus titanum</i>	Titan Arum	<i>Pratylenchus coffeae</i>	0	1	0	0
<i>Amorphophallus titanum</i>	Titan Arum	Pythium Root or Stem Rot (<i>Pythium splendens</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Amorphophallus titanum</i>	Titan Arum	Rotylenchus reniformis	0	1	0	0
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River Birch	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River Birch	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River Birch	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Catalpa</i> sp./spp.	Catalpa	Alternaria Leaf Spot (<i>Alternaria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Celtis occidentalis</i>	Hackberry (nettle tree)	Phytoplasma Disease (<i>Phytoplasma</i> sp./spp. unknown)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp./spp. hybrids	Chrysanthemum	Chrysanthemum White Rust (<i>Puccinia horiana</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Epimedium</i> sp./spp.	Epimedium	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Epimedium</i> sp./spp.	Epimedium	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Epimedium</i> sp./spp.	Epimedium	Unspecified Pathology (<i>Thielaviopsis</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Epimedium</i> sp./spp.	Epimedium	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>	European Beech	Phytophthora Canker (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>	European Beech	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>	European Beech	Wood Boring Insect Damage (Unidentified Wood Boring Insect)	1	0	0	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Hop Downy Mildew (<i>Pseudoperonospora humuli</i>)	0	4	0	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	4	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Spider Mites (Family Tetranychidae)	4	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Cornell University Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic

Diagnostic Review Report

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Ilex</i> sp./spp.	Holly	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ilex</i> sp./spp.	Holly	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Lavandula</i> sp./spp.	Lavender	Stem and Crown Rot (<i>Phytophthora nicotianae</i>)	2	0	0	0
<i>Parthenocissus tricuspidata</i>	Boston Ivy	Boston Ivy (<i>Parthenocissus tricuspidata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus thunbergiana</i>	Japanese Black pine	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus bicolor</i>	Swamp White oak	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Quercus bicolor</i>	Swamp White oak	Nutritional Deficiency (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	High Temperature Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Hollow Heart (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Potato Pink Rot (<i>Phytophthora erythroseptica</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Unidentified Bacteria (Unidentified Bacteria)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	Potato	Verticillium Wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Spinacia oleracea</i>	Spinach	Cucumber Mosaic (Cucumber Mosaic Virus (CMV))	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	2	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja</i> sp./spp.	Arborvitae	Winter Injury (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus</i> sp./spp.	Elm	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ulmus</i> sp./spp.	Elm	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Vaccinium corymbosum</i>	Highbush Blueberry	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Vaccinium corymbosum</i>	Highbush Blueberry	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Viburnum</i> sp./spp.	Viburnum	Dieback; Canker; Twig Blight (<i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Viburnum</i> sp./spp.	Viburnum	Moisture Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Viburnum</i> sp./spp.	Viburnum	Verticillium Wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.