

| Host | | Diagnosis | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| | | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples | | | | |

Time Period Report for October 18th through October 24th, 2016

| Scientific Name | Common Name | Diagnosis | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| <i>Acer palmatum</i> | Japanese Maple | Canker; Coral spot; Blight; Dieback (<i>Nectria cinnabarina</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Acer palmatum</i> | Japanese Maple | Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Allium sativum</i> | Garlic | Stem and bulb nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Carpinus sp./spp.</i> | Hornbeam | Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Carpinus sp./spp.</i> | Hornbeam | Unspecified pathology (<i>Phomopsis sp./spp.</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Picea pungens</i> | Blue Spruce | Moisture stress (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Picea pungens</i> | Blue Spruce | Nutrient imbalance (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Picea pungens</i> | Blue Spruce | Winter injury (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Pinus strobus</i> | Eastern White pine | Needle discoloration (<i>Stagonospora sp./spp.</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Pinus strobus</i> | Eastern White pine | Sirococcus needle blight (<i>Sirococcus sp./spp.</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Pinus strobus</i> | Eastern White pine | Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Pinus strobus</i> | Eastern White pine | Unspecified pathology (<i>Septorioides strobii</i>) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

| Host | | Diagnosis | Confidence (to genus) | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Scientific Name | Common Name | | Confirmed | Not Detected | Suspected | Inconclusive |
| | | This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples | | | | |
| <i>Poa ; agrostis annua</i> and a. spp. | Mix Annual bluegrass; bentgrass | Unknown abiotic disorder (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| <i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp. | Rhododendron | Crown rot; Root rot; Stem rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Rhododendron</i> sp./spp. | Rhododendron | Drought stress damage (Abiotic disorder) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| <i>Viburnum</i> sp./spp. | Viburnum | Additional sample requested (Identification Analysis) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.