

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

**Time Period Report for February 16<sup>th</sup> through February 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2016**

<i>Brassica oleracea</i> <i>acephala</i>	Kale	Aphids (Plant Lice) (Family Aphididae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> <i>acephala</i>	Kale	Black Spot ( <i>Alternaria brassicae</i> )	1	0	1	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> <i>acephala</i>	Kale	Crucifer Bacterial Black Rot ( <i>Xanthomonas campestris</i> )	2	0	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> <i>var. acephala</i>	Collards	Black Spot ( <i>Alternaria brassicae</i> )	2	0	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> <i>var. acephala</i>	Collards	Crucifer Bacterial Black Rot ( <i>Xanthomonas campestris</i> )	2	0	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> <i>var. capitata</i>	Cabbage	Alternaria Leaf Spot ( <i>Alternaria</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> <i>var. capitata</i>	Cabbage	Black Spot ( <i>Alternaria brassicae</i> )	1	0	2	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> <i>var. capitata</i>	Cabbage	Crucifer Bacterial Black Rot ( <i>Xanthomonas campestris</i> )	4	0	0	0
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> <i>var. capitata</i>	Cabbage	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)	2	0	0	0
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	Willow Oak	Wood Rot Fungus; Dryadeus Root Rot ( <i>Inonotus dryadeus</i> )	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis  This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live Oak	Kermes Scale ( <i>Kermes</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live Oak	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Viola</i> sp./spp.	Violas (violet; pansy)	Black Root Rot ( <i>Thielaviopsis basicola</i> )	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.