

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				

Time Period Report for July 5th through July 11th, 2016

Time Period Report for July 5 th through July 11 th , 2016						
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Acarid Mites (Family Acaridae)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Canker; Coral Spot; Blight; Dieback (<i>Nectria cinnabarina</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	3	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Insufficient Sample (Identification Analysis)	2	0	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Maple Canker (<i>Stegosporium</i> sp./spp.)	4	0	0	0
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Sugar Maple	Stem Canker (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Allium cepa</i>	Onion	Stemphylium Leaf Spot (<i>Stemphylium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium cepa</i>	Onion	Thrips Damage (Unidentified Thrips)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Bulb Mite (<i>Rhizoglyphus</i> sp./spp.)	0	0	1	0
<i>Allium</i> sp./spp.	Garlic	Garlic Botrytis Rot (<i>Botrytis porri</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Allium</i> sp./spp.	Garlic	Stem and Bulb Nematode (<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Aucuba japonica variegata</i>	Gold Dust plant	Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Aucuba japonica variegata</i>	Gold Dust plant	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Herbicide Injury; Exposure (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight (<i>Calonectria pseudonaviculata</i>)	0	1	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Psyllid (<i>Psylla buxi</i>)	0	0	2	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Boxwood Volutella Blight; Canker (<i>Volutella buxi</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Fusarium Stem Rot (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Buxus</i> sp./spp.	Boxwood	Volutella Leaf Blight; Dieback (<i>Volutella</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Fragaria</i> sp./spp.	Strawberry	Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	2	0	0
<i>Fragaria</i> sp./spp.	Strawberry	Unspecified Pathology (<i>Fusarium</i> sp./spp.)	2	0	0	0
<i>Fragaria</i> sp./spp.	Strawberry	Verticillium Wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
<i>Hosta</i> sp./spp.	Hosta	Bacterial Soft Rot (Unidentified Bacterium)	1	0	0	0
<i>Hosta</i> sp./spp.	Hosta	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	No Pathogen Found (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hops	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Armillaria Root Rot (<i>Armillaria</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0
<i>Juniperus</i> sp./spp.	Juniper	Crown and Root Rot (<i>Phytophthora</i> sp./spp.)	0	1	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected - The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Juniperus sp./spp.</i>	Juniper	Tip Blight (<i>Kabatina sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Juniperus sp./spp.</i>	Juniper	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Malus sp./spp.</i>	Crabapple	Apple Black Rot (<i>Diplodia seriata</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus sp./spp.</i>	Crabapple	Cedar-Hawthorn Rust (<i>Gymnosporangium globosum</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Malus sp./spp.</i>	Crabapple	Cedar-Quince Rust (<i>Gymnosporangium clavipes</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern White pine	Brown Spot; Needle Blight (<i>Mycosphaerella dearnessii</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Pyrus sp./spp.</i>	Pear (ornamental)	Fire Blight (<i>Erwinia amylovora</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Ribes rubrum</i>	Red Northern currant	Tomato Ringspot (ToRSV) (Nepovirus Tomato Ringspot Virus)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Moisture Stress (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Pestalotiopsis Needle Blight; Tip Blight (<i>Pestalotiopsis sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Thuja sp./spp.</i>	Arborvitae	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
<i>Ulmus sp./spp.</i>	Elm	Dutch Elm Disease (<i>Ophiostoma sp./spp.</i>)	3	0	0	0
<i>Vinca minor</i>	Lesser Periwinkle	Rhizoctonia Stem and Root Rot (<i>Rhizoctonia sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis	Confidence (to genus)			
Scientific Name	Common Name		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples				
<i>Vitis sp./spp.</i>	Grape	Dagger Nematodes (<i>Xiphinema sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Vitis sp./spp.</i>	Grape	Lesion Nematodes (<i>Pratylenchus sp./spp.</i>)	1	0	0	0
<i>Vitis sp./spp.</i>	Grape	No Nematode Problem Detected (No Nematode Problem Detected)	2	0	0	0
<i>Zinnia sp./spp. hybrids</i>	Zinnia	Bacterial Leaf Spot (<i>Xanthomonas campestris zinniae</i>)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.